

Heat flow of Yang-Mills-Higgs functionals in dimension two

Chong Song*, Changyou Wang†

August 22, 2016

Abstract

We consider the heat flow of Yang-Mills-Higgs functional where the base manifold is a Riemannian surface and the fiber is a compact symplectic manifold. We show that the corresponding Cauchy problem admits a global weak solution for any H^1 -initial data. Moreover, the solution is smooth except finitely many singularities. We prove an energy identity at finite time singularities and give a description of the asymptotic behavior at time infinity.

1 Introduction

Suppose (Σ, g) is a compact Riemann surface without boundary, G is a compact Lie group equipped with a metric, \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G and \mathfrak{g}^* is the dual of \mathfrak{g} , and P is a principal G -bundle on Σ . Let (M, ω) be a compact symplectic manifold which supports a Hamiltonian action of G with moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, and $\pi : \mathcal{F} = P \times_G M \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the associated fiber bundle with fiber M . Then G extends to an equivariant action on \mathcal{F} , and μ extends to a map on the bundle $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow P \times_{ad} \mathfrak{g}^*$. Denote the space of smooth connections on P by \mathcal{A} , the space of smooth sections on \mathcal{F} by \mathcal{S} . Denote the $W^{k,p}$ -Sobolev completions of the spaces \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{S} by $\mathcal{A}_{k,p}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{k,p}$ respectively. Then for a pair $(A, \phi) \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$, the *Yang-Mills-Higgs functional* is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}(A, \phi) := \|F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 + \|D_A \phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 + \|\mu(\phi) - c\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where F_A is the curvature of A , D_A is the exterior derivative associated with connection A , and $c \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a fixed central element.

The *Yang-Mills-Higgs (or YMH) functional* is a composition of the famous *Yang-Mills functional*, the kinetic energy functional and the Higgs potential energy functional. Since the YMH functional appears naturally in the classical gauge theory, it has generated a lot of interests among both physicists and mathematicians during the past decades. For example, the Ginzburg-Landau equation in the superconductivity theory coincides with the variational equation of YMH functional. The critical points of the YMH functional are known as *Yang-Mills-Higgs fields* and the YMH functional is an appropriate Morse function to study the underlying spaces ([1, 13, 17, 23, 27]). On the other hand, it is also well-known that the minimal YMH fields are the so-called *symplectic vortices* and their moduli space can be used to define invariants on symplectic manifolds with Hamiltonian actions [3, 16].

*School of Mathematical Science, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, P. R. China

†Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

A natural method to study the existence of critical points is the heat flow method. If we denote the formal adjoint operators of the exterior derivative D_A and the covariant derivative ∇_A by D_A^* and ∇_A^* respectively, then the equation of heat flow of Yang-Mills-Higgs functional can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = -D_A^* F_A - \phi^* D_A \phi, \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -D_A^* D_A \phi - (\mu(\phi) - c) \cdot \nabla \mu(\phi). \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Here ∇ denotes the connection induced by the metric on (M, ω) and $\phi^* D_A \phi$ stands for the element in the dual space of $\Omega^1(AdP)$ consisting of AdP -valued 1-forms, with $AdP = P \times_{Ad} \mathfrak{g}$, which is defined as follows:

$$\int_{\Sigma} \langle \phi^* D_A \phi, B \rangle dv_g := \int_{\Sigma} \langle D_A \phi, B \phi \rangle dv_g, \quad \forall B \in \Omega^1(AdP).$$

Here we would like to mention a few relevant references from the vast literatures concerning both the YMH flow and the closely related Yang-Mills flow. The heat flow of Yang-Mills functional was first suggested by Atiyah and Bott [1] and has been studied by many people. For example, Råde [19] studied the Yang-Mills heat flow in dimensions 2 and 3, and Struwe [25] has studied the Yang-Mills flow in dimension 4 and showed both the existence and uniqueness of local smooth solutions, while Schlatter [20, 21] has described the blow-up phenomenon and long time behavior of the Yang-Mills flow. Recently, Hong, Tian and Yin [12] have applied the Yang-Mills α -flow to construct a weak solution of the Yang-Mills flow in dimension 4. For the YMH flow of a vector bundle over 4 dimensional manifold, results similar to [25, 20] were obtained by Fang and Hong [8]. Hong and Tian [11] have also studied the asymptotic behavior of both the Yang-Mills flow and the YMH flow in higher dimensions. It is worth mentioning that the Yang-Mills flow and the YMH flow have also been employed in the study of the existence of Hermitian-Einstein metrics and the so-called Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence(cf. [6, 29, 22, 10, 14]). For more related results, we refer to the book by Feehan [9] and references therein.

The equation of heat flow of YMH functional (1.2) has its independent interest from the analytic point of view. It is a coupled quasilinear degenerate parabolic system with critical nonlinearities: the first equation in (1.2) is analogous to the Yang-Mills flow, while the second equation in (1.2) can be viewed as the gauged heat flow of harmonic maps. Thus it is natural to expect that the equation of YMH flow (1.2) should reflect some features that are common with respect to both the Yang-Mills flow and the heat flow of harmonic maps. It is worthwhile to mention that (i) since the dimension of the base manifold (Σ, g) is two, the nonlinearity of first equation of YMH flow (1.2) becomes subcritical, while the nonlinearity of second equation of YMH flow (1.2) is critical; (ii) since the fiber is a compact manifold that may support nontrivial harmonic maps from \mathbb{S}^2 , the second equation of YMH flow (1.2) may develop finite time singularity. Recall that the critical dimension of Yang-Mills flow is four in which the Yang-Mills functional is conformally invariant. Råde [19] has showed that the Yang-Mills flow admits a global smooth solution in subcritical dimensions 2 and 3, while the existence of global smooth solutions to the Yang-Mills flow in dimension 4 is an outstanding open problem. Yu [31] showed the local existence of the YMH flow in dimension 2 with smooth initial data and studied the bubbling analysis at the first singular time. In this paper, we will show that a weaker version of Råde's result holds for the YMH flow (1.2), namely there exists a global weak solution of (1.2) that is smooth away from finitely many points. Note that

finite time singularities do occur for harmonic map heat flows in dimension two [2, 4] (see also [30] for other related work), which could be regarded as a special case of the YMH flow.

Before stating our results, we first give the definition of weak solutions.

Definition. For $0 < T \leq +\infty$ and $(A_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}_{0,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$, a pair of sections (A, ϕ) is called a weak solution to the YMH flow equation (1.2) under the initial condition

$$(A, \phi)|_{t=0} = (A_0, \phi_0), \quad (1.3)$$

on the interval $[0, T)$, if

(i) $(A, \phi) \in C^0([0, T), \mathcal{A}_{0,2}) \times \left(C^0([0, T], \mathcal{S}_{0,2}) \cap L^2([0, T], \mathcal{S}_{1,2}) \right)$, and $F_A \in L^2([0, T], L^2)$.

(ii) the following holds:

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \langle A, \partial_t B \rangle dv_g dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} (\langle F_A, D_A B \rangle + \langle D_A \phi, B \phi \rangle) dv_g dt; \\ \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \langle \phi, \partial_t \psi \rangle dv_g dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} (\langle D_A \phi, D_A \psi \rangle + \langle (\mu(\phi) - c) \nabla \mu(\phi), \psi \rangle) dv_g dt, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

for any test functions $B \in H_0^1([0, T], \mathcal{A}_{0,2}) \cap L^2([0, T], \mathcal{A}_{1,2})$, and $\psi \in H_0^1([0, T], \mathcal{S}_{0,2}) \cap L^2([0, T], \mathcal{S}_{1,2})$.

(iii) (A, ϕ) satisfies (1.3) in L^2 -sense.

Now we state our main theorem on the existence of global weak solutions to the YMH flow.

Theorem 1.1. Let $(A_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$. There exist a global weak solution (A, ϕ) to the YMH flow (1.2) and (1.3) such that

i) the energy inequality $\mathcal{E}(A(t), \phi(t)) \leq \mathcal{E}(A_0, \phi_0)$ holds for all $0 \leq t < +\infty$, and

$$A \in C^0([0, \infty), \mathcal{A}_{0,2}); \quad \phi \in C^0([0, \infty), \mathcal{S}_{0,2}); \quad F_A \in L^\infty([0, \infty), L^2); \quad D_A \phi \in L^\infty([0, \infty), L^2).$$

ii) there exist a positive integer $L \leq [\frac{\mathcal{E}(0)}{\alpha(M)}]$, and gauge transformations $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^L \subset \mathcal{G}_{2,2}$, and $0 = T_0 < T_1 < T_2 < \dots < T_L < +\infty$ such that for $1 \leq i \leq L$, $(s_i^* A, s_i^* \phi) \in C^\infty(\Sigma \times (T_{i-1}, T_i] \setminus \{(x_1^i, T_i), \dots, (x_{j(i)}^i, T_i)\})$ for some $j(i) \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}(0)}{\alpha(M)}$. Here $\alpha(M) > 0$ is defined by

$$\alpha(M) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla h|^2 dv_{g_0} : h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2, M) \text{ is a nontrivial harmonic map} \right\}.$$

iii) for each $1 \leq i \leq L$, there exist finitely many nontrivial harmonic maps $\omega_{ij} : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow M$, $1 \leq j \leq L_i$ with $L_i \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}(0)}{\alpha(M)}$, such that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T_i} \mathcal{E}(A(t), \phi(t)) = \mathcal{E}(A(T_i), \phi_i(T_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^{L_i} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla \omega_{ij}|^2 dv_{g_0}. \quad (1.5)$$

iv) a) there exist $t_i \uparrow \infty$, a sequence of gauge transformations $\{s_i\} \subset \mathcal{G}_{2,2}$, a set of finitely many points $S := \{x_1, \dots, x_{k_0}\} \subset \Sigma$, with $k_0 \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}(0)}{\alpha(M)}$, and a Yang-Mills-Higgs field $(A_\infty, \phi_\infty) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$ such that $s_i^* A(t_i) \rightarrow A_\infty$ in $H^1(\Sigma)$, $s_i^* \phi(t_i) \rightarrow \phi_\infty$ in $H^1(\Sigma)$, and $s_i^* \phi(t_i) \rightarrow \phi_\infty$ in $H^1_{loc}(\Sigma \setminus S)$, as $i \rightarrow \infty$; b) there exist finitely many nontrivial harmonic maps $\omega_p : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow M$, $1 \leq p \leq p_0 \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}(0)}{\alpha(M)}$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(A(t_i), \phi(t_i)) = \mathcal{E}(A_\infty, \phi_\infty) + \sum_{p=1}^{p_0} \mathcal{E}(\omega_p); \quad (1.6)$$

and c) the images of $\{\omega_p\}_{p=1}^{p_0}$ and that of ϕ_∞ are connected.

It is an interesting question whether the global weak solution given by Theorem 1.1 is unique in a certain class of weak solutions, which we plan to investigate in the future.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review some preliminary facts on connections and curvatures on G -bundles. In section 3, we utilize the DeTurck's trick to obtain the local existence of unique smooth solutions to the YMH flow (1.2). In section 4, we establish a priori estimates of smooth solutions to (1.2) under the smallness condition. In section 5, through smooth approximations of H^1 -initial data and applications of the results from section 3 and section 4, we show the existence of local weak solutions to (1.2) under H^1 -initial data. In section 6 and section 7, by extending the bubbling analysis for YMH fields to approximate YMH fields with L^2 -controlled tension fields, we obtain both the energy identity at finite singular time and asymptotic behavior at time infinity for the global weak solutions to (1.2). In section 8, by utilizing Uhlenbeck's gauge fixing techniques, we are able to extend the existence of local weak solutions to global weak solutions to (1.2). The details of proof of Theorem 1.1 are included in that of Theorem 5.1, Theorem 7.1, Theorem 7.2, and Theorem 8.3.

2 Preliminaries

First we recall some basic definitions of connections on bundles. Let $AdP := P \times_{Ad} \mathfrak{g}$ be the adjoint bundle of the principal G -bundle P . The space \mathcal{A} of connections on P is an affine space modelled on $\Omega^1(AdP)$, here $\Omega^k(AdP)$ denotes the space of AdP -valued k -forms for $k \geq 1$. Namely, if we fix a reference connection D_{ref} on P , then

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ D_A = D_{ref} + a \mid a \in \Omega^1(AdP) \right\}.$$

Any connection $A \in \mathcal{A}$ gives rise to a covariant derivative ∇_A and an exterior differential operator D_A , which is the anti-symmetric part of ∇_A , on the principal G -bundle P . The curvature of a connection $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$F_A := D_A \circ D_A \in \Omega^2(AdP).$$

The covariant derivative ∇_A can be extended to the associated bundle \mathcal{F} as follows. Given a local trivialization of \mathcal{F} , let $\psi : \mathcal{F}|_U \rightarrow U \times M$ be the coordinate map. Then a section $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ is locally equivalent to a map $u \in C^\infty(U, \mathcal{F})$ and the connection A can be written as $D_A|_U = d + A$, where $A := A_\alpha dx^\alpha$ is a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form. The covariant derivative $\nabla_A \phi$ is then defined by

$$\nabla_A \phi|_U := du + A \cdot u,$$

where the action of A on the map u , $A \cdot u$, is induced by the symplectic action of G on M . More precisely, by the action of G on M , every $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ corresponds to a symplectic vector field X_ξ by

$$X_\xi(y) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \exp(\xi t) \cdot y, \quad \forall y \in M.$$

Then the action of the \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form A on u is given by

$$A \cdot u = A_\alpha \cdot u dx^\alpha = X_{A_\alpha}(u) dx^\alpha.$$

The operation of covariant derivative ∇_A on the (vertical) tangent bundle $T\mathcal{F}^v$ is slightly different. Denote the Levi-Civita connection induced by the metric h on M by ∇ , then we can define $\nabla_A : \Gamma(T\mathcal{F}^v) \rightarrow \Gamma(T\mathcal{F}^v \times T^*\Sigma)$ by

$$\nabla_A V = \nabla V + A \cdot V := \nabla V + \nabla_V X_{A_\alpha} \cdot dx^\alpha, \quad V \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F}^v).$$

The covariant derivative ∇_A also extends to $T\mathcal{F}^v$ -valued p -forms. Namely, we can define $\nabla_A : \Omega^p(T\mathcal{F}^v) \rightarrow \Gamma(T\mathcal{F}^v \otimes \Omega^p(\Sigma) \otimes T^*\Sigma)$ by

$$\nabla_A(\eta \otimes \omega) = \nabla_A \eta \otimes \omega + \eta \otimes \nabla \omega, \quad \eta \otimes \omega \in \Omega^p(T\mathcal{F}^v),$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on Σ . The exterior derivative D_A is defined through the projection $\Omega^p(T\mathcal{F}^v) \otimes T^*\Sigma \rightarrow \Omega^p(T\mathcal{F}^v)$ in the standard way.

We will also need the following basic facts. For any connection A , we have the first Bianchi identity:

$$D_A F_A = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

and the second Bianchi identity:

$$D_A^* D_A^* F_A = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

There are two Laplace operators for the connection A on fiber bundles. Namely, the Hodge Laplacian

$$\Delta_A = D_A^* D_A + D_A D_A^*,$$

and the rough Laplacian $\nabla_A^* \nabla_A$. The well-known Weitzenböck formula describes the difference of these two Laplace operators on vector bundle valued forms. For example, the Weitzenböck formula for $\Phi \in \Omega^p(AdP)$ is

$$\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \Phi = \Delta_A \Phi + F_A \# \Phi + R_\Sigma \# \Phi, \tag{2.3}$$

where R_Σ is the Riemannian curvature of the base manifold Σ and $\#$ denotes a multi-linear map with smooth coefficients. Note that for a fiber bundle where the fiber M is a Riemannian manifold with curvature tensor R_M , an extra term emerges in the Weitzenböck formula. More precisely, for a section $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$, there is a pull-back bundle $\phi^* T\mathcal{F}$ on Σ . The curvature on $\phi^* T\mathcal{F}$ contains not only F_A but also the pull-back curvature $\phi^* R_M$. Therefore, for a section $\Psi \in \Omega^p(\phi^* T\mathcal{F})$, we have

$$\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \Psi = \Delta_A \Psi + F_A \# \Psi + R_\Sigma \# \Psi + R_M \# d\phi \# d\phi \# \Psi. \tag{2.4}$$

This is the case when we apply this formula on $\Psi = D_A \phi$.

Notations: For simplicity, we will omit the subscription A and simply use D, F, ∇, Δ instead of $D_A, F_A, \nabla_A, \Delta_A$ if no confusions may occur.

3 Local smooth solutions

In this section, we will show both the existence and uniqueness of local smooth solutions to the YMH flow (1.2) for any smooth initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) . First, fix a smooth reference connection. For example, we may choose the initial connection A_0 as the reference connection. Then any connection D corresponds to a 1-form $a \in \Omega^1(AdP)$ by

$$D = A_0 + a.$$

The curvature of A is

$$F_A = D_A \circ D_A = F_{A_0} + D_0 a + a \wedge a = F_{A_0} + D_A a - a \wedge a,$$

since $D_A a = D_{A_0} a + [a, a]$. Then the equation (1.2) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} = -D_A^* D_A a - D_A^* F_{A_0} + D_A^*(a \wedge a) - \phi^* D_A \phi, \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -D_A^* D_A \phi - (\mu(\phi) - c) \cdot \nabla \mu(\phi). \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

It is well-known that the first equation of A in the system (3.1) is degenerate, since the Yang-Mills functional is invariant under gauge transformations. To overcome this difficulty, we adapt DeTurck's trick and consider a gauged system equivalent to (3.1) that is parabolic. Our main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 3.1. *For any smooth initial data $(A_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$, there exist a $T > 0$ and a unique smooth solution (A, ϕ) to the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow equation (1.2) in $\Sigma \times [0, T)$, with $(A, \phi)|_{t=0} = (A_0, \phi_0)$.*

Proof. We consider the following perturbed system for \bar{A} and $\bar{\phi}$:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \bar{a}}{\partial t} = -\bar{D}^* \bar{F} - \bar{\phi}^* \bar{D} \bar{\phi} - \bar{D} \bar{D}^* \bar{a}, \\ \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}}{\partial t} = -\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{D} \bar{\phi} - (\mu(\bar{\phi}) - c) \cdot \nabla \mu(\bar{\phi}) + (\bar{D}^* \bar{a}) \bar{\phi}, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

under the initial condition $(\bar{a}(0), \bar{\phi}(0)) = (0, \phi_0)$, where

$$\bar{D} = D_{\bar{A}}, \quad \bar{a} = \bar{D} - A_0, \quad \bar{F} = F_{\bar{A}}, \quad \bar{\nabla} = \nabla_{\bar{A}}.$$

If we denote the Hodge Laplacian on $\Omega^p(AdP)$ by $\bar{\Delta} := \bar{D}^* \bar{D} + \bar{D} \bar{D}^*$ and the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ by $\bar{\Delta}' := \text{tr}(\bar{\nabla}^2)$, then the above system can be written as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \bar{a}}{\partial t} + \bar{\Delta} \bar{a} = -\bar{D}^* F_0 + \bar{D}^*(\bar{a} \wedge \bar{a}) - \bar{\phi}^* \bar{D} \bar{\phi}, \\ \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}}{\partial t} - \bar{\Delta}' \bar{\phi} = -(\mu(\bar{\phi}) - c) \cdot \nabla \mu(\bar{\phi}) + (\bar{D}^* \bar{a}) \bar{\phi}, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

under the initial condition $(\bar{a}(0), \bar{\phi}(0)) = (0, \phi_0)$. Note that the Hodge Laplacian $\bar{\Delta}$ and the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\bar{\Delta}'$ differs by a sign when applied to $\Omega^0(\mathcal{F})$. Then one can verify that the system

(3.3) is a quasilinear parabolic system. The standard parabolic theory implies that for any smooth initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) , there exists a unique smooth solution $(\bar{a}, \bar{\phi}) \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S})$ to (3.3) for some $0 < T = T(A_0, \phi_0) \leq +\infty$ (see for example [8] for a proof).

Next we choose a family of gauge transformations $\{S(t)\}_{0 \leq t < T}$, which satisfies the following ordinary differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dS}{dt} = -S \circ \bar{D}^* \bar{a}, \\ S(0) = \text{id}. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

We claim that the pair $(A(t), \phi(t)) = S(t)^*(\bar{A}(t), \bar{\phi}(t))$, $0 \leq t < T$, is a solution to the YMH flow equation (1.2). Indeed, since

$$D = S \circ \bar{D} \circ S^{-1}, \quad \frac{dS^{-1}}{dt} = -S^{-1} \circ \frac{dS}{dt} \circ S^{-1},$$

we have, by (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} &= \frac{dS}{dt} \circ \bar{D} \circ S^{-1} + S \circ \frac{\partial \bar{D}}{\partial t} \circ S^{-1} + S \circ \bar{D} \circ \frac{dS^{-1}}{dt} \\ &= S \circ (-\bar{D}^* \bar{a} \circ \bar{D} - \bar{D}^* \bar{F} - \bar{\phi}^* \bar{D} \bar{\phi} - \bar{D} \bar{D}^* \bar{a} + \bar{D} \circ \bar{D}^* \bar{a}) \circ S^{-1} \\ &= S \circ (-\bar{D}^* \bar{F} - \bar{\phi}^* \bar{D} \bar{\phi}) \circ S^{-1} \\ &= -D_A^* F_A - \phi^* D_A \phi, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identity:

$$\bar{D} \circ \bar{D}^* \bar{a} = \bar{D} \bar{D}^* \bar{a} + \bar{D}^* \bar{a} \circ \bar{D}.$$

Also, since $\phi = S \circ \bar{\phi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} &= \frac{dS}{dt} \circ \bar{\phi} + S \circ \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}}{\partial t} \\ &= -S \circ (\bar{D}^* \bar{a}) \circ \bar{\phi} + S \circ (-\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{D} \bar{\phi} - (\mu(\bar{\phi}) - c) \cdot \nabla \mu(\bar{\phi}) + (\bar{D}^* \bar{a}) \bar{\phi}) \\ &= S \circ (-\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{D} \bar{\phi} - (\mu(\bar{\phi}) - c) \cdot \nabla \mu(\bar{\phi})) \\ &= -\nabla_A^* D_A \phi - (\mu(\phi) - c) \cdot \nabla \mu(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the initial condition is preserved under the gauge transformation. Therefore we obtain a local smooth solution $(A, \phi) \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S})$ to the original YMH flow equation (1.2) by solving the gauged parabolic system (3.3) and the gauge transformations (3.4).

The uniqueness part can be shown from the observation that we can reverse the above process and obtain a local smooth solution to (3.2) from a local smooth solution to the YMH flow equation (1.2) through the gauge transformations. In fact, suppose $(A, \phi) \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S})$ is a solution to the YMH flow equation (1.2), then we first solve the following equation to get a family of gauge transformations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dS}{dt} = -D^* D S - D^* \circ S a, \\ S(0) = \text{id}. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Note that this is a parabolic system of S . Indeed, write $D = D_0 + a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^*DS &= D_0^*D_0S + D_0^*(aS) + a^*(D_0S) + a^*aS \\ &= D_0^*D_0S + (D_0^*a)S + 2a^*(D_0S) + a^*aS. \end{aligned}$$

Since S can be viewed as a 0-form, we have $D_0^*S = 0$ and hence $\Delta_0S = D_0^*D_0S$. Thus the equation (3.5) is a parabolic system and has a unique local smooth solution $S \in C^\infty([0, T])$.

A straightforward calculation shows that the pair $(\bar{A}(t), \bar{\phi}(t)) = (S^{-1})^*(A(t), \phi(t))$, $0 \leq t < T$, is a solution to the system (3.2). In fact, the gauge transformation S we get from (3.5) is exactly the same gauge transformation we obtain from (3.4). To see this, note that since $\bar{D}^* = (S^{-1})^*(D^*) = S^{-1} \circ D^* \circ S$ and

$$\bar{a} = \bar{D} - A_0 = S^{-1} \circ D \circ S - D + a,$$

there holds

$$S \circ \bar{D}^* \bar{a} = D^* \circ S \bar{a} = D^* \circ (D \circ S - S \circ D + Sa) = D^*DS + D^* \circ Sa.$$

Thus the equation (3.4) and (3.5) are identical.

Now we can complete the proof of uniqueness. Suppose that we have two local smooth solutions $(A_1, \phi_1), (A_2, \phi_2) \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S})$ of the YMH flow equation (1.2), then one solves the equation (3.5) to get two smooth gauge transformations $S_1(t), S_2(t)$, $0 \leq t < T$, and hence two local smooth solutions $(\bar{a}_1, \bar{\phi}_1), (\bar{a}_2, \bar{\phi}_2)$ to the system (3.2) with same initial value. By the uniqueness theorem of (3.2), we have $(\bar{a}_1, \bar{\phi}_1) = (\bar{a}_2, \bar{\phi}_2)$, $0 \leq t < T$. On the other hand, since the parabolic system (3.5) is equivalent to the equation (3.4), it follows from the uniqueness of ordinary differential equations that $S_1 = S_2$. This in turn implies that $(A_1, \phi_1) = (A_2, \phi_2)$ for $0 \leq t < T$. This completes the proof. \square

4 A priori estimates

In this section, we will derive some a priori estimates of the local smooth solution obtained in the last section.

For $0 < T \leq +\infty$, suppose $(A, \phi) \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{A}) \times C^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{S})$ is a smooth solution to the YMH flow equation (1.2), with the initial value $(A_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$. We first derive the evolution equation for the curvature F_A of A under the YMH flow equation (1.2). By the definition of F and a direct calculation, we have

$$\frac{\partial F_A}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(D_A \circ D_A) = \frac{\partial D_A}{\partial t} \circ D_A + D_A \circ \frac{\partial D_A}{\partial t} = D_A \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right).$$

Hence, under the YMH flow equation (1.2), F_A satisfies:

$$\frac{\partial F_A}{\partial t} = -D_A D_A^* F_A - D_A(\phi^* D_A \phi). \quad (4.1)$$

Note that it is not hard to see

$$D_A(\phi^* D_A \phi) = D_A \phi \# D_A \phi + \phi^* F_A \phi.$$

The equation (4.1) is a parabolic equation, since by the first Bianchi identity (2.1), we have

$$\Delta F_A = D_A D_A^* F_A.$$

Applying the Weitzenböck formula (2.3), we can rewrite (4.1) as

$$\frac{\partial F_A}{\partial t} = -\nabla_A^* \nabla_A F_A - R_\Sigma \# F_A - F_A \# F_A - D_A \phi \# D_A \phi - \phi^* F_A \phi. \quad (4.2)$$

Now we set the energy density of $(A(t), \phi(t))$ by

$$e(A(t), \phi(t)) := |F_A|^2 + |D_A \phi(t)|^2 + |\mu(\phi(t)) - c|^2,$$

the (total) Yang-Mills-Higgs energy of $(A(t), \phi(t))$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(t) := \mathcal{E}(A(t), \phi(t)) = \int_\Sigma e(A(t), \phi(t)) dv_g,$$

and the local Yang-Mills-Higgs energy of $(A(t), \phi(t))$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(t, B_R(x)) := \int_{B_R(x)} e(A(t), \phi(t)) dv_g,$$

where $B_R(x) := \{y \in \Sigma : d(y, x) < R\} \subset \Sigma$ denotes the geodesic ball with center x and radius R , and $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the distance function on (Σ, g) .

Since the YMH flow equation (1.2) is the negative gradient flow of the YMH functional, we have the following global energy inequality.

Lemma 4.1. (energy inequality) *For $0 \leq t < T$, it holds*

$$\mathcal{E}(t) + 2 \int_0^t \int_\Sigma \left(\left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right|^2 \right) dv_g dt \leq \mathcal{E}(0). \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. From the equation (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dt} &= 2 \int_\Sigma \left(\langle D_A^* F_A + \phi^* D_A \phi, \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \rangle + \langle \nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi + (\mu(\phi) - c) \cdot \nabla \mu(\phi), \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \rangle \right) dv_g \\ &= -2 \int_\Sigma \left(\left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right|^2 \right) dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this inequality on $[0, t]$ yields (4.3). \square

We also have the following local energy inequality.

Lemma 4.2. (local energy inequality) *There exist $R_0 > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$ depending only Σ such that for $0 < t < T$ and $x \in \Sigma$, it holds*

$$\mathcal{E}(t, B_R(x)) \leq \mathcal{E}(0, B_{2R}(x)) + \frac{Ct}{R^2} \mathcal{E}(0). \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_{2R})$ such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta = 1$ on B_R , and $|d\eta| \leq \frac{C}{R}$. Then we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Sigma e(A, \phi) \eta^2 dv_g &= \int_\Sigma \eta^2 \langle D_A \phi, \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \phi \rangle dv_g + \int_\Sigma \eta^2 \langle D_A \phi, D_A \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \rangle dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_\Sigma \eta^2 \langle F_A, D_A \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \rangle dv_g + \int_\Sigma \eta^2 (\mu(\phi) - c) \cdot \nabla \mu(\phi) \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging terms in the right side and integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} e(A, \phi) \eta^2 dv_g &= \int_{\Sigma} \eta^2 \langle D_A^* D_A \phi + (\mu(\phi) - c) \cdot \nabla \mu(\phi), \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \rangle dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma} \eta^2 \langle D_A^* F_A + \phi^* D_A \phi, \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \rangle dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma} d(\eta^2) \langle D_A \phi, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \rangle dv_g + \int_{\Sigma} d(\eta^2) \langle F_A, \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \rangle dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

From the equation (1.2) and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} e(A, \phi) \eta^2 dv_g \leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \eta^2 (|\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}|^2 + |\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}|^2) dv_g + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{\Sigma} (|D_A \phi|^2 + |F_A|^2) dv_g.$$

Integrating on $[0, t]$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(t, B_R(x)) &+ \int_0^t \int_{B_R(x)} (|\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}|^2 + |\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}|^2) dv_g \\ &\leq \mathcal{E}(0, B_{2R}(x)) + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} (|D_A \phi|^2 + |F_A|^2) dv_g dt. \end{aligned}$$

This and the energy inequality (4.3) now yield the local energy inequality (4.4). \square

Next, we will derive a Bochner type formula for smooth solutions to the YMH flow equation (1.2). In order to do this, we first set for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\widehat{e}_{\lambda}(A, \phi) := \sqrt{\lambda + |F_A|^2} + |D_A \phi|^2.$$

Recall that for any smooth section Φ of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$, there holds

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta_g |\Phi|^2 = -\langle \nabla_A^* \nabla_A \Phi, \Phi \rangle + |\nabla_A \Phi|^2. \quad (4.5)$$

Lemma 4.3. (Bochner formula) *Let $(A, \phi) \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S})$ be a smooth solution of the YMH flow equation (1.2). There exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on Σ , \mathcal{A} , and \mathcal{S} such that*

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_g \right) \widehat{e}_1(A, \phi) \leq C(1 + |F_A| + |D_A \phi|^2) \widehat{e}_1(A, \phi) \quad (4.6)$$

holds on $\Sigma \times (0, T)$.

Proof. First, applying the identity (4.5), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta_g |F_A|^2 = -\langle \nabla_A^* \nabla_A F_A, F_A \rangle + |\nabla_A F_A|^2.$$

Second, applying the equation (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |F_A|^2 &= -\langle \nabla^* \nabla F_A + F_A \# F_A + R_{\Sigma} \# F_A, F_A \rangle - F_A \# D_A \phi \# D_A \phi - \langle F_A \phi, F_A \phi \rangle \\ &\leq -\langle \nabla^* \nabla F_A, F_A \rangle + C(1 + |F_A| + |R_{\Sigma}|) |F_A|^2 + C |F_A| |D_A \phi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two inequalities yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_g\right)|F_A|^2 + |\nabla_A F_A|^2 \leq C(1 + |F_A|)|F_A|^2 + C|F_A||D_A \phi|^2. \quad (4.7)$$

Direct calculations imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_g\right)|F_A|^2 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_g\right)(\sqrt{1 + |F_A|^2})^2 \\ &= \sqrt{1 + |F_A|^2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_g\right)\sqrt{1 + |F_A|^2} - \left|\nabla\sqrt{1 + |F_A|^2}\right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Recall that by Kato's inequality, it holds that

$$|\nabla|F_A|| \leq |\nabla_A F_A|,$$

and hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_A F_A|^2 - \left|\nabla\sqrt{1 + |F_A|^2}\right|^2 &\geq |\nabla_A F_A|^2 - \frac{|F_A|^2|\nabla|F_A||^2}{1 + |F_A|^2} \\ &\geq |\nabla_A F_A|^2 - |\nabla|F_A||^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

It is clear that by substituting (4.8) into (4.7) and applying (4.9), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_g\right)\sqrt{1 + |F_A|^2} \leq C(1 + |F_A|)|F_A| + C|D_A \phi|^2. \quad (4.10)$$

Next we will make a similar calculation on $|D_A \phi|^2$. By (4.5), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_g|D_A \phi|^2 = -\langle \nabla_A^* \nabla_A D_A \phi, D_A \phi \rangle + |\nabla_A D_A \phi|^2.$$

Applying the YMH flow equation (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|D_A \phi|^2 &= \langle D_A\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}\right), D_A \phi \rangle + \left\langle \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_A\right)\phi, D_A \phi \right\rangle \\ &= -\langle D_A(D_A^* D_A \phi + \nabla h(\phi)), D_A \phi \rangle - \langle (D_A^* F_A + \phi^* D_A \phi)\phi, D_A \phi \rangle \\ &= -\langle D_A D_A^* D_A \phi, D_A \phi \rangle - \nabla^2 h(\phi)(D_A \phi, D_A \phi) - \langle (D_A^* F_A)\phi, D_A \phi \rangle - |\phi^* D_A \phi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here $h(\phi) := \frac{1}{2}|\mu(\phi) - c|^2$. Applying the Weitzenböck formula (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_A D_A^* D_A \phi &= \Delta_A D_A \phi - D_A^* D_A D_A \phi \\ &= \nabla_A^* \nabla_A D_A \phi + F_A \# D_A \phi + R_\Sigma \# D_A \phi + R_M \# D_A \phi \# D_A \phi \# D_A \phi - D_A^*(F_A \phi) \\ &= \nabla_A^* \nabla_A D_A \phi + F_A \# D_A \phi + R_\Sigma \# D_A \phi + R_M \# D_A \phi \# D_A \phi \# D_A \phi \\ &\quad - (D_A^* F_A)\phi - F_A \# D_A \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two equations together, it is not hard to see that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_g\right)|D_A \phi|^2 \leq -|\nabla_A D_A \phi|^2 + C(1 + |F_A| + |D_A \phi|^2)|D_A \phi|^2. \quad (4.11)$$

Putting (4.10) together with (4.11) yields Bochner's formula (4.6). The proof is complete. \square

Now we will prove an ϵ -gradient estimate for smooth solutions (A, ϕ) of the YMH flow equation (1.2). For any point $z := (x, t) \in \Sigma \times (0, T)$, denote the parabolic ball, with center z and radius $R > 0$, by

$$\mathbb{P}_R(z) := \left\{ (y, s) \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \mid y \in B_R(x), t - R^2 < s < t \right\}.$$

Lemma 4.4. (ϵ -regularity) *There exist positive constants ϵ_0, R_0, C_0 depending only on Σ, \mathcal{A} , and \mathcal{S} such that for any $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \Sigma \times (0, T)$ and $0 < R < \min\{R_0, \sqrt{t_0}\}$, if*

$$\sup_{t_0 - R^2 < t < t_0} \int_{B_R(x_0)} (|F_A| + |D_A \phi|^2) dv_g \leq \epsilon_0, \quad (4.12)$$

then for any $0 < r < R$, it holds that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{P}_r(z_0)} (|F_A| + |D_A \phi|^2)(z) \leq \frac{C_0}{(R - r)^2}. \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. Let $i_0 = i_0(\Sigma) > 0$ denote the injectivity radius of Σ and $0 < R_0 < \min\{i_0, \sqrt{\epsilon_0}, 1\}$. Note that $\mathcal{F}|_{B_{R_0}(x_0)}$ is a trivial fiber bundle. For $0 < R \leq R_0$, define $v : [0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$v(r) := (R - r)^2 \sup_{z \in \mathbb{P}_r(z_0)} (\sqrt{1 + |F_A|^2} + |D_A \phi|^2)(z).$$

Assume that v attains its maximum over $[0, R]$ at $r_0 \in (0, R)$. Let $z_1 := (x_1, t_1) \in \mathbb{P}_{r_0}(z_0)$ be such that

$$e_0 := (\sqrt{1 + |F_A|^2} + |D_A \phi|^2)(z_1) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{P}_{r_0}(z_0)} (\sqrt{1 + |F_A|^2} + |D_A \phi|^2)(z).$$

It is clear that for any $0 \leq r \leq R$,

$$v(r) \leq v(r_0) = (R - r_0)^2 \sup_{z \in \mathbb{P}_{r_0}(z_0)} (\sqrt{1 + |F_A|^2} + |D_A \phi|^2)(z) = (R - r_0)^2 e_0. \quad (4.14)$$

Observe that if $(R - r_0)^2 e_0 \leq 4$, then we would have

$$v(r) \leq v(r_0) = (R - r_0)^2 e_0 \leq 4, \quad \forall 0 \leq r \leq R,$$

which implies (4.13). Hence we may assume

$$(R - r_0)^2 e_0 > 4. \quad (4.15)$$

For $\lambda > 0$, define the parabolic dilation $P_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$P_\lambda(x, t) = (\lambda x, \lambda^2 t).$$

Denote $\rho_0 = e_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and define the rescaled pair $(\phi_{\rho_0}, A_{\rho_0})$ and the metric g_{ρ_0} by

$$\phi_{\rho_0}(z) = \phi(z_1 + P_{\rho_0}(z)), \quad A_{\rho_0}(z) = \rho_0 A(z_1 + P_{\rho_0}(z)), \quad g_{\rho_0}(x) = g(x_1 + \rho_0 x),$$

for $z \in \mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{\rho_0}}(P_{\rho_0}^{-1}(z_0 - z_1))$ and $x \in B_{\frac{r_0}{\rho_0}}(\frac{x_0 - x_1}{\rho_0})$. It is easy to check that

$$|F_{A_{\rho_0}}|^2(z) = \rho_0^4 |F_A|^2(P_{\rho_0}(z)), \quad |D_{A_{\rho_0}} \phi_{\rho_0}|^2(z) = \rho_0^2 |D_A \phi|^2(P_{\rho_0}(z)).$$

Note that (4.15) is equivalent to

$$\rho_0 < \frac{R - r_0}{2}. \quad (4.16)$$

Observe that since

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{e}_{\rho_0^4}(A_{\rho_0}, \phi_{\rho_0})(z) &= \left(\sqrt{\rho_0^4 + |F_{A_{\rho_0}}|^2 + |D_{A_{\rho_0}} \phi_{\rho_0}|^2} \right)(z) \\ &= \rho_0^2 \widehat{e}_1(\phi, A)(z_1 + P_{\rho_0}(z)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{\rho_0}} \left(P_{\rho_0}^{-1}(z_0 - z_1) \right)$, it follows that

$$\widehat{e}_{\rho_0}(A_{\rho_0}, \phi_{\rho_0})(0) = \rho_0^2 \widehat{e}_1(A, \phi)(z_1) = e_0^{-1} e_0 = 1.$$

From (4.14), we have that $\mathbb{P}_{\rho_0}(z_1) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\frac{R+r_0}{2}}(z_0)$ and hence by the definition of $v(r)$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{P}_1(0)} \widehat{e}_{\rho_0^4}(A_{\rho_0}, \phi_{\rho_0})(z) &= \rho_0^2 \sup_{z \in \mathbb{P}_{\rho_0}(z_1)} \widehat{e}_1(A, \phi)(z) \\ &\leq e_0^{-1} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{P}_{\frac{R+r_0}{2}}(z_0)} \widehat{e}_1(A, \phi)(z) = e_0^{-1} \left(\frac{R - r_0}{2} \right)^{-2} v \left(\frac{R + r_0}{2} \right) \\ &\leq e_0^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{R - r_0}{2} \right)^{-2} (R - r_0)^2 e_0 = 4. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

From Bochner's formula (4.6) for (ϕ, A) and straightforward calculations, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{g_{\rho_0}} \right) \widehat{e}_{\rho_0^4}(A_{\rho_0}, \phi_{\rho_0})(z) &= \rho_0^4 \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_g \right) \widehat{e}_1(A, \phi) \right] (P_{\rho_0}(z)) \\ &\leq C \rho_0^4 (1 + |F_A| + |D_A \phi|^2) \widehat{e}_1(A, \phi)(P_{\rho_0}(z)) \\ &= C (\rho_0^2 + |F_{A_{\rho_0}}| + |D_{A_{\rho_0}} \phi_{\rho_0}|^2) \widehat{e}_{\rho_0^4}(A_{\rho_0}, \phi_{\rho_0})(z) \\ &\leq C \widehat{e}_{\rho_0^4}(A_{\rho_0}, \phi_{\rho_0})(z), \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where we have used (4.17) and the fact $\rho_0 \leq 1$ in the last step. Thus, by Moser's Harnack inequality for parabolic equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \widehat{e}_{\rho_0^4}(A_{\rho_0}, \phi_{\rho_0})(0) &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0)} \widehat{e}_{\rho_0^4}(A_{\rho_0}, \phi_{\rho_0}) = C \rho_0^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\rho_0}(z_1)} \widehat{e}_1(A, \phi) \\ &\leq C \sup_{t_1 - \rho_0^2 < t < t_1} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_1)} \widehat{e}_1(A, \phi) \\ &\leq C \sup_{t_0 - R^2 < t < t_0} \int_{B_R(x_0)} (1 + |F_A| + |D_A \phi|^2) \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_0 + R_0^2) \leq C\epsilon_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

This is clearly impossible if we choose a sufficiently small $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Thus (4.15) doesn't hold, which implies

$$e_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq \frac{R - r_0}{2}$$

and hence $v(r) \leq 4$ for all $0 \leq r \leq R$. In particular, we have

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{P}_r(z_0)} \widehat{e}_1(\phi, A)(z) = \frac{1}{(R-r)^2} v(r) \leq \frac{4}{(R-r)^2}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Based on the ϵ -regularity Lemma 4.4, we can derive point-wise estimates for higher order derivatives of smooth solutions (ϕ, A) of the YMH flow equation (1.2), under the small energy condition (4.12).

Lemma 4.5. *Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 4.4, there holds*

$$\sup_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{R}{2}}(z_0)} (R^{l+1} |\nabla_A^{l-1} F_A| + R^l |\nabla_A^l \phi|) < C(l, \epsilon_0) \quad (4.20)$$

for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof. Note that when $l = 1$, (4.20) follows directly from Lemma 4.4. By a simple scaling argument, we may assume $R = 2$ and $z_0 = (0, 0)$. In order to establish (4.20) for all $l \geq 2$, we need to show

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}_{1+2^{-l}}(0)} (|\nabla_A^{l-1} F_A|^2 + |\nabla_A^l \phi|^2) \leq C(l, \epsilon_0) \int_{\mathbb{P}_2(0)} e(\phi, A), \quad \forall l \geq 2. \quad (4.21)$$

From Lemma 4.4, we have the following estimate

$$\sup_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{3}{2}}(0)} (|F_A| + |D_A \phi|) \leq C(\epsilon_0). \quad (4.22)$$

We prove (4.21) by an induction on l . Consider the case $l = 2$, we may assume for simplicity that $g|_{B_2(0)}$ is the euclidean metric. Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_2(0))$ such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B_{\frac{5}{4}}(0)$, $\eta \equiv 0$ outside $B_{\frac{3}{2}}(0)$, and $|\nabla \eta| \leq 8$. Multiplying (4.2) by $\eta^2 F_A$, integrating the resulting equation over $B_2(0)$, and applying integration by parts and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_2(0)} |F_A|^2 \eta^2 &= - \int_{B_2(0)} (|\nabla_A F_A|^2 \eta^2 + \langle \nabla_A F_A, F_A \nabla \eta^2 \rangle) - \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 \langle R_\Sigma \# F_A, F_A \rangle \\ &\quad - \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 \langle F_A \# F_A, F_A \rangle - \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 \langle D_A \phi \# D_A \phi + \phi^* F_A \phi, F_A \rangle \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A F_A|^2 + C \int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}}(0)} (|F_A|^2 + |F_A|^3 + |D_A \phi|^4). \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

By Fubini's theorem, we can find $t_* \in (-4, -(\frac{5}{4})^2)$ such that

$$\int_{B_2(0)} (|F_A|^2 + |D_A \phi|^2)(t_*) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{P}_2(0)} (|F_A|^2 + |D_A \phi|^2). \quad (4.24)$$

Integrating (4.23) over $t \in [t_*, 0]$ and applying (4.24) and (4.22), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{\frac{5}{4}}(0)} |F_A|^2(0) + \int_{t_*}^0 \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A F_A|^2 \\
& \leq \int_{B_{\frac{5}{4}}(0)} |F_A|^2(t_*) + C \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{3}{2}}(0)} (|F_A|^2 + |F_A|^3 + |D_A \phi|^4) \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{P}_2(0)} (|F_A|^2 + |D_A \phi|^2) + C \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{3}{2}}(0)} (|F_A|^3 + |D_A \phi|^4) \\
& \leq C(\epsilon_0) \int_{\mathbb{P}_2(0)} (|F_A|^2 + |D_A \phi|^2). \tag{4.25}
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain a similar estimate for ϕ , we take ∇_A to both sides of the YMH flow equation (1.2)₂ so that it holds

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla_A \phi) = -\nabla_A \nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi - \nabla^2 h(\phi) \cdot \nabla_A \phi + \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \phi, \tag{4.26}$$

where $h(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}|\mu(\phi) - c|^2$. Multiplying (4.26) by $\eta^2 \nabla_A \phi$, integrating the resulting equation on $B_2(0)$, applying integration by parts and Hölder's inequality, and using the equation (1.2)₁, we obtain that, for any $0 < \delta < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_2(0)} |\nabla_A \phi|^2 \eta^2 & \leq - \int_{B_2(0)} (\eta^2 |\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi|^2 + \langle \nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi, \nabla_A \phi \nabla \eta^2 \rangle) \\
& \quad + \int_{B_2(0)} |\nabla_\phi^2 h(\phi)| |\nabla_A \phi|^2 \eta^2 + \int_{B_2(0)} \left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right| |\nabla_A \phi| \eta^2 \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi|^2 + \delta \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 \left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right|^2 + C\delta^{-1} \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A \phi|^2 \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi|^2 + 2\delta \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |D_A^* F_A|^2 + C\delta^{-1} \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A \phi|^2 \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi|^2 + C\delta \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A F_A|^2 + C\delta^{-1} \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A \phi|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating this inequality over $[t_*, 0]$ and applying (4.24), we obtain

$$\int_{B_2(0)} |\nabla_A \phi|^2(0) + \int_{t_*}^0 \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi|^2 \leq C\delta \int_{t_*}^0 \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A F_A|^2 + C\delta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{P}_2(0)} e(A, \phi). \tag{4.27}$$

Adding (4.25) and (4.27) and choosing a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, we obtain

$$\int_{B_2(0)} e(A, \phi)(0) + \int_{t_*}^0 \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 (|\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi|^2 + |\nabla_A F_A|^2) \leq C(\epsilon_0) \int_{\mathbb{P}_2(0)} e(A, \phi). \tag{4.28}$$

Note that by integrating by parts, applying Ricci's identities for interchanging derivatives, and (4.22), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_*}^0 \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^2 \phi|^2 & \leq 2 \int_{t_*}^0 \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi|^2 + C \int_{t_*}^0 \int_{B_2(0)} (|\nabla \eta|^2 |\nabla_A \phi|^2 + \eta^2 |\nabla_A \phi|^4) \\
& \leq 2 \int_{t_*}^0 \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi|^2 + C(\epsilon_0) \int_{\mathbb{P}_2(0)} e(A, \phi), \tag{4.29}
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ depends on the curvature F_A and the curvatures of Σ and M . Combining (4.28) with (4.29) yields (4.21) for $l = 2$.

For any $k \geq 3$, we assume that (4.21) holds for all $l \leq k$. We need to show that it also holds for $l = k + 1$. To do it, first apply the operator ∇_A^k to both sides of the YMH flow equation (1.2)₂ of ϕ the operator ∇_A^{k-1} to both sides of the YMH flow equation (4.2). After interchanging the order of derivatives, we obtain a system in the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla_A^k \phi) = -\nabla_A^* \nabla_A^{k+1} \phi + \mathcal{Q}_1^k(\nabla_A \phi, F_A) + \nabla_A^k(\nabla h(\phi)), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla_A^{k-1} F_A) = -\nabla_A^* \nabla_A^k F_A + \mathcal{Q}_2^k(\nabla_A \phi, F_A) + \nabla_A^{k-1}(g(F_A, \phi)). \end{cases} \quad (4.30)$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_1^k, \mathcal{Q}_2^k$ are lower order terms depending on derivatives of $\nabla_A \phi$ and F_A up to order $k - 1$, and

$$g(F_A, \phi) = -R_\Sigma \# F_A - F_A \# F_A - D_A \phi \# D_A \phi - \phi^* F_A \phi.$$

More precisely, \mathcal{Q}_1^k comes from changing $\nabla_A^k(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t})$ to $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla_A^k \phi)$, and $\nabla_A^k \nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi$ to $\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \nabla_A^k \phi$. If we denote the total curvature of the fiber bundle by \tilde{R} which involves R_Σ, R_M and F_A , we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_1^k(\nabla_A \phi, F_A) = \sum \nabla_A^a \tilde{R}(\nabla_A^b \nabla_A \phi, \nabla_A^c \nabla_A \phi) \nabla_A^d \nabla_A \phi, \quad (4.31)$$

where the sum is taken over all indices $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ satisfying $a + b + c + d = k - 1$. Then one can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum \nabla_A^a \tilde{R}(\nabla_A^b \nabla_A \phi, \nabla_A^c \nabla_A \phi) \nabla_A^d \nabla_A \phi \right| \\ & \leq C \sum |\nabla_A^{j_1} F_A| \cdots |\nabla_A^{j_r} F_A| \cdot |\nabla_A^{j_{r+1}} \nabla_A \phi| \cdots |\nabla_A^{j_{r+s}} \nabla_A \phi|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending on R_Σ and R_M , and the indices satisfy

$$0 \leq j_1, \dots, j_{r+s} \leq k - 1; \quad j_1 + \cdots + j_{r+s} = k - 1; \quad s \geq 3. \quad (4.33)$$

Moreover, we have

$$|\nabla_A^k(\nabla h(\phi))| \leq C \sum |\nabla_A^{i_1} \phi| \cdots |\nabla_A^{i_p} \phi| \quad (4.34)$$

where C is a constant depending on h and the indices $i_1, \dots, i_p \geq 1$ satisfy $i_1 + \cdots + i_p = k$.

Thus multiplying (4.30)₁ by $\eta^2 \nabla_A^k \phi$ and integrating on $B_2(0)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^k \phi|^2 + \int_{B_2(0)} |\nabla_A^{k+1} \phi|^2 \\ & \leq C \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^k \phi|^2 + C \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\mathcal{Q}_1^k| |\nabla_A^k \phi| + C \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^k(\nabla h(\phi))| |\nabla_A^k \phi| \\ & =: I + II + III. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

By (4.31) and (4.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\leq C \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |F_A|^r |\nabla_A \phi|^{s-1} |\nabla_A^k \phi|^2 + C \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |F_A|^{r-1} |\nabla_A \phi|^s |\nabla_A^{k-1} F_A| |\nabla_A^k \phi| \\
&\quad + C \sum \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^{j_1} F_A| \cdots |\nabla_A^{j_r} F_A| \cdot |\nabla_A^{j_{r+1}} \nabla_A \phi| \cdots |\nabla_A^{j_{r+s}} \nabla_A \phi| |\nabla_A^k \phi| \\
&\leq C(\epsilon_0) \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 (|\nabla_A^k \phi|^2 + |\nabla_A^{k-1} F_A|^2) \\
&\quad + C \sum \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^{j_1} F_A|^2 \cdots |\nabla_A^{j_r} F_A|^2 \cdot |\nabla_A^{j_{r+1}} \nabla_A \phi|^2 \cdots |\nabla_A^{j_{r+s}} \nabla_A \phi|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

where the sum in the last term is taken over all the indices satisfying (4.33) with $j_1, \dots, j_{r+s} \leq k-2$.

Recall the Sobolev embedding for a section Ψ in dimension 2

$$\|\Psi\|_{L^q} \leq C \|\Psi\|_{W^{1,2}} \leq C \|\Psi\|_{H^{1,2}}, \quad \forall q > 1$$

where $\|\Psi\|_{W^{1,2}}$ is the usual Sobolev norms of $|\Phi|$ and

$$\|\Psi\|_{H^{1,2}} := \|\Psi\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_A \Psi\|_{L^2}$$

is the Sobolev norms with respect to ∇_A . It follows that that for all $q > 1, j \leq k-2$, we have

$$\|\nabla_A^j F_A\|_{L^q} \leq C \|\nabla_A^j F_A\|_{H^{1,2}} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|\nabla_A^i F_A\|_{L^2},$$

and

$$\|\nabla_A^j \nabla_A \phi\|_{L^q} \leq C \|\nabla_A^{j+1} \phi\|_{H^{1,2}} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|\nabla_A^{i+1} \phi\|_{L^2}.$$

Applying this and the Hölder inequality in (4.36), we get

$$II \leq C(\epsilon_0) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 (|\nabla_A^i F_A|^2 + |\nabla_A^{i+1} \phi|^2) \right) \tag{4.37}$$

By (4.34) and a similar argument, we have

$$III \leq C(\epsilon_0) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^{i+1} \phi|^2 \right) \tag{4.38}$$

Now inserting (4.37) and (4.38) back into (4.35), we arrive at

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 |\nabla_A^k \phi|^2 + \int_{B_2(0)} |\nabla_A^{k+1} \phi|^2 \leq C(\epsilon_0) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 (|\nabla_A^i F_A|^2 + |\nabla_A^{i+1} \phi|^2) \right).$$

Consequently, integrating this inequality on t as we did before and using the induction assumption, we obtain the desired bound

$$\int_{t_*}^0 \int_{B_2(0)} |\nabla_A^{k+1} \phi|^2 \leq C(\epsilon_0) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\int_{t_*}^0 \int_{B_2(0)} \eta^2 (|\nabla_A^i F_A|^2 + |\nabla_A^{i+1} \phi|^2) \right).$$

The estimate on $\nabla_A^k F_A$ can be achieved in the same way. Namely, \mathcal{Q}_2^k emerges from interchanging the order of derivatives on F_A . Since we have

$$\left| \nabla_A^{k-1} \nabla_A^* \nabla_A F_A - \nabla_A^* \nabla_A^k F_A \right| \leq C \sum_{i=0}^k |\nabla_A^i F_A \# \nabla_A^{k-i} F_A|,$$

it is easy to verify that the following estimate holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{Q}_2^k(\nabla_A \phi, F_A) \right| + \left| \nabla_A^{k-1}(g(F_A, \phi)) \right| &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^k \left(|\nabla_A^i F_A| |\nabla_A^{k-i} F_A| + |\nabla_A^{i+1} \phi| |\nabla_A^{k-i} \phi| \right) \\ &\quad + C \sum_{0 \leq j_1 + j_2 + j_3 \leq k} |\nabla_A^{j_1} F_A| |\nabla_A^{j_2} \phi| |\nabla_A^{j_3} \phi|. \end{aligned}$$

Then multiplying the equation (4.30)₂ by $\nabla_A^{k-1} F_A$ and integrating by parts, we may obtain the desired bounds (4.21) for $l = k + 1$.

Finally, (4.21) together with the parabolic Sobolev embedding theorems completes our proof. \square

Remark 4.6. In dimension two, the assumption (4.12) in Lemma 4.4 can be weakened to that there exists $R_0 > 0$ depending on (ϕ_0, A_0) and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t_0 - R_0^2 \leq t \leq t_0} \int_{B_{R_0}(x_0)} |D_A \phi|^2 dv_g \leq \epsilon_0. \quad (4.39)$$

In fact, it follows from Hölder's inequality and the energy inequality (4.3) that

$$\int_{B_{R_0}(x_0)} |F_A| dv_g \leq \text{Vol}(B_{R_0}(x_0)) \left(\int_{B_{R_0}(x_0)} |F_A|^2 dv_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(\mathcal{E}(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} R_0 \leq C(\mathcal{E}(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} R_0 \leq \epsilon_0,$$

provided $R_0 \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(0)}}$.

5 Local weak solutions of the YMH flow

In this section, we will establish the local existence of weak solutions to the initial value problem of the YMH flow equation (1.2), with any initial data $(A_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$. For simplicity, we will denote, for $0 < T \leq +\infty$ and $1 < p \leq \infty, 1 < q \leq \infty$,

$$L^p(L^q) := L^p([0, T], L^q(\Sigma)), \quad L^p(H^1) := L^p([0, T], H^1(\Sigma)), \quad H^1(L^q) := H^1([0, T], L^q(\Sigma)).$$

Now we state the theorem on the local existence of weak solutions.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume $(A_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$, there exist $0 < T_0 \leq +\infty$ and a weak solution (A, ϕ) to the YMH flow equation (1.2) and the initial condition (1.3) on the interval $[0, T_0)$. Moreover, (A, ϕ) enjoys the properties that $F_A \in L^\infty(L^2)$, $\phi \in L^\infty(H^1)$, and there exists a gauge transformation $s \in \mathcal{G}_{1,2}$ such that $(s^* A, s^* \phi) \in C^\infty((0, T_0), \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S})$. Finally, if $0 < T_0 < +\infty$ is the maximal time interval for the weak solution, then*

$$\limsup_{t \uparrow T_0} \max_{x \in \Sigma} \int_{B_R(x)} e(A(t), \phi(t)) dv_g \geq \alpha(M), \quad \forall R > 0, \quad (5.1)$$

where $\alpha(M) > 0$ is a positive constant given by

$$\alpha(M) := \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla h|^2 dv_{g_0} : h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2, M) \text{ is a nontrivial harmonic map} \right\}. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. We divide the proof into five steps:

Step 1: Smooth approximation of initial data. Since $P \times_G M$ is a smooth manifold and $\dim(\Sigma) = 2$, it follows from Schoen-Uhlenbeck's density Lemma (cf. [26]), a local trivialization of the bundle \mathcal{F} , and the partition of unity that there exists a sequence of smooth sections $\phi_0^n \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\phi_0^n \rightarrow \phi$ in $H^1(\Sigma)$. It is standard that there is a sequence of smooth sections $A_0^n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_0^n \rightarrow A_0$ in $H^1(\Sigma)$. Thus we may assume a uniform energy bound of (A_0^n, ϕ_0^n) :

$$\mathcal{E}_n(0) := \mathcal{E}(A_0^n, \phi_0^n) \leq C(= 1 + \mathcal{E}(0)). \quad (5.3)$$

Applying Theorem 3.1, we conclude that there exist $T_n > 0$ and a unique smooth solution $(A_n, \phi_n) \in C^\infty([0, T_n), \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S})$ to the YMH heat flow equation (1.2) and the initial condition (1.3) with (A_0, ϕ_0) replaced by (A_0^n, ϕ_0^n) . We may assume that $T_n > 0$ is the maximal time interval for (A_n, ϕ_n) .

Step 2: A uniform lower bound of T_n . Let ϵ_0 and R_0 be the constants given by Lemma 4.4. Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $R_0 > 0$ be the constants given by lemma 4.4. Since $(A_0^n, \phi_0^n) \rightarrow (A_0, \phi_0)$ in $H^1(\Sigma)$, there exists a uniform $R_1 \in (0, R_0)$ independent of n such that

$$\mathcal{E}_n(0, 2R_1) := \max_{x \in \Sigma} \int_{B_{2R_1}(x)} e(A_0^n, \phi_0^n) dv_g \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}. \quad (5.4)$$

Then by Lemma 4.2, there exists $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$, depending only on Σ , $\mathcal{E}(0)$ and R_1 , such that for $T_n^1 = \min \{T_n, \theta_0 R_1^2\} > 0$ there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_n(t, R_1) &:= \max_{x \in \Sigma} \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} e(A_n(t), \phi_n(t)) dv_g \leq \mathcal{E}_n(0, 2R_1) + CT_n^1 R_1^{-2} \mathcal{L}_n(0) \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} + CT_n^1 R_1^{-2} (1 + \mathcal{E}(0)) \\ &\leq \epsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq T_n^1$.

Now we want to show $T_n \geq \theta_0 R_1^2$. Suppose, otherwise, $T_n < \theta_0 R_1^2$. Then we have $T_n = T_n^1 < \theta_0 R_1^2$ so that (5.5) holds for $0 \leq t \leq T_n$. Applying Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, we can conclude that for any $0 < \delta < T_n$ it holds

$$\sup_n \max_{(x,t) \in \Sigma \times [\delta, T_n]} \left(|\nabla^{l-1} F_{A_n}| + |\nabla_{A_n}^l \phi_n| \right) \leq C(l, R_1, \epsilon_0, \delta), \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (5.6)$$

Applying (5.6) (with $\delta = \frac{T_n}{2}$) and taking l -order derivatives ∇_A^l to the equation (1.2) for $l \geq 1$, it is not hard to see that for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $(A_n(t), \phi_n(t))$ is uniformly bounded in $C^l(\Sigma)$ for $0 \leq t < T_n$. Therefore there exists $(A_n(T_n), \phi_n(T_n)) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T_n} (A_n(t), \phi_n(t)) = (A_n(T_n), \phi_n(T_n)) \text{ in } C^l(\Sigma), \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

This contradicts the maximality of T_n . Thus we must have that $T_n \geq \theta_0 R_1^2$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Step 3: Weak convergence of (A_n, ϕ_n) in $\Sigma \times [0, \theta_0 R_1^2]$. From the energy inequality (4.3), we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \theta_0 R_1^2} \mathcal{E}_n(t) + \int_0^{\theta_0 R_1^2} \int_{\Sigma} \left(\left| \frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial t} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial A_n}{\partial t} \right|^2 \right) dv_g dt \leq \mathcal{E}_n(0) \leq C. \quad (5.7)$$

This and direct calculations imply that $\|A_n(t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \in H^1([0, \theta_0 R_1^2]) \subset C^{\frac{1}{2}}([0, \theta_0 R_1^2])$ and satisfies the estimate: for any $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \theta_0 R_1^2$,

$$\left| \|A_n(t_1)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} - \|A_n(t_2)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial A_n}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma \times [0, \theta_0 R_1^2])} |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.8)$$

By (5.3), (5.8), and (5.7), we also have that ϕ_n is bounded in $L^2([0, \theta_0 R_1^2], \mathcal{S}_{1,2})$, i.e.,

$$\sup_n \|\phi_n\|_{L^2([0, \theta_0 R_1^2], H^1(\Sigma))} \leq C \mathcal{E}_n(0) \leq C. \quad (5.9)$$

It follows from (5.7), (5.8), and (5.9) that we may assume that there exist a connection $A \in L^\infty([0, \theta_0 R_1^2], \mathcal{A}_{0,2})$ and $\phi \in L^2([0, \theta_0 R_1^2], \mathcal{S}_{1,2})$ such that after passing to a subsequence,

$$A_n \rightharpoonup A \text{ weak}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(L^2); \quad \phi_n \rightharpoonup \phi \text{ in } L^2(H^1) \text{ and } \phi_n \rightarrow \phi \text{ in } L^2(L^2). \quad (5.10)$$

From (5.3), we may assume that there exist $F \in L^\infty([0, \theta_0 R_1^2], L^2(\Sigma))$, $\psi \in L^\infty([0, \theta_0 R_1^2], L^2(\Sigma))$ such that after passing to a subsequence,

$$F_{A_n} \rightharpoonup F; \quad D_{A_n} \phi_n \rightharpoonup \psi \text{ in } L^2(L^2). \quad (5.11)$$

Step 4: Uhlenbeck's gauge fixings and smooth convergence of (A_n, ϕ_n) . In order to show (A, ϕ) is a weak solution of (1.2) and (1.3), we need to identify F and ψ with F_A and $D_A \phi$ respectively. To achieve this, we need to control the connections A_n through Uhlenbeck's gauge fixing techniques. To do it, we adopt the argument by [12] Lemma 3.7. First note that (A_n, ϕ_n) satisfies the smallness condition:

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq \theta_0 R_1^2} \mathcal{E}_n(t, R_1) \leq \epsilon_0. \quad (5.12)$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any n , (A_n, ϕ_n) satisfies, for any $k \geq 0$,

$$\|\nabla_{A_n}^k F_{A_n}(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq \frac{C\epsilon_0}{t^k}, \quad \|\nabla_{A_n}^{k+1} \phi_n(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq \frac{C\epsilon_0}{t^{\frac{k}{2}}}, \quad \forall 0 < t \leq \theta_0 R_1^2. \quad (5.13)$$

Set $t_0 = \theta_0 R_1^2$. Using (5.13) with $k = 0$, we can apply Uhlenbeck's gauge fixing (see [28] Theorem 1.5) to obtain a sequence of Coulomb gauge transforms $s_n \in \mathcal{G}_{1,2}$ such that $\tilde{A}_n(t_0) = s_n^*(A_n)(t_0) \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies

$$\|\tilde{A}_n(t_0)\|_{C^k(\Sigma)} \leq C \left(\|A_n(t_0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \|\nabla_{A_n}^l F_{A_n}(t_0)\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \right) \leq C(k, t_0, \epsilon_0), \quad \forall k \geq 1. \quad (5.14)$$

Set $\tilde{\phi}_n = s_n^*(\phi_n)$. Since (1.2) is invariant under time-independent gauge transforms, $(\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{\phi}_n)$ is also a solution of (1.2) in $[0, t_0]$. Set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n(t, R_1) := \max_{x \in \Sigma} \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} e(\tilde{A}_n(t), \tilde{\phi}_n(t)) dv_g.$$

Then, since the local Yang-Mills-Higgs energy $\mathcal{E}_n(t, R_1)$ is invariant under gauge transformations, (5.12) holds for $(\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{\phi}_n)$, i.e.,

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq \theta_0 R_1^2} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n(t, R_1) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq \theta_0 R_1^2} \mathcal{E}_n(t, R_1) \leq \epsilon_0.$$

so that by Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, (5.13) holds for $(\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{\phi}_n)$, i.e.,

$$\|\nabla_{\tilde{A}_n}^k F_{\tilde{A}_n}(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq \frac{C\epsilon_0}{t^k}, \quad \|\nabla_{\tilde{A}_n}^{k+1} \tilde{\phi}_n(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq \frac{C\epsilon_0}{t^{\frac{k}{2}}}, \quad \forall 0 < t \leq \theta_0 R_1^2. \quad (5.15)$$

Now we take $\partial_t^k \nabla_{\tilde{A}_n}^l$ of both sides of the equation (1.2) for $(\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{\phi}_n)$ for any $k, l \geq 1$, and apply (5.14) and (5.15) to conclude that $(\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{\phi}_n) \in C^\infty(\Sigma \times (0, t_0])$ satisfies

$$\sup_n \left\| (\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{\phi}_n) \right\|_{C^k(\Sigma \times [\delta, t_0])} \leq C(k, \delta, t_0, \epsilon_0), \quad \forall k \geq 0, \quad \forall 0 < \delta < t_0. \quad (5.16)$$

From (5.16), we may assume there exists $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\phi}) \in C^\infty((0, t_0], \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S})$ such that after passing to a subsequence, $(\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{\phi}_n) \rightarrow (\tilde{A}, \tilde{\phi})$ in $C^k(\Sigma \times [\delta, t_0])$ for any $k \geq 1$ and $0 < \delta < t_0$. Since $(\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{\phi}_n)$ are smooth solutions of (1.2) on $\Sigma \times (0, t_0]$, it follows that $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\phi})$ is also a smooth solution of (1.2).

Since $\tilde{A}_n(t_0) = s_n^*(A_n)(t_0) = s_n^{-1} ds_n + s_n^{-1} A_n(t_0) s_n$, it follows from (5.10) and (5.14) that

$$\|ds_n\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq C(\|\tilde{A}_n(t_0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|A_n(t_0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}) \leq C. \quad (5.17)$$

Thus we may assume there exists $s \in \mathcal{G}_{1,2}$ such that after passing to a subsequence, $s_n \rightarrow s$ in $H^1(\Sigma)$ and $s_n \rightarrow s$ in $L^2(\Sigma)$. This, combined with (5.10), implies that $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\phi}) = (s^* A, s^* \phi)$ or equivalently $(A, \phi) = ((s^{-1})^* \tilde{A}, (s^{-1})^* \tilde{\phi})$ in $\Sigma \times (0, t_0]$. Since (1.2) is invariant under time-independent gauge transformations, we conclude that $(F, \psi) = (F_A, D_A \phi)$, and (A, ϕ) is a weak solution of (1.2) in $\Sigma \times [0, t_0]$ and satisfies (1.3).

Step 5: Characterization of a finite maximal time interval T_0 . Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be given by Lemma 4.4. First we claim if $0 < T_0 < +\infty$ is the maximal time interval for a weak solution (A, ϕ) constructed through step 1 to step 4, then

$$\limsup_{t \uparrow T_0} \max_{x \in \Sigma} \int_{B_R(x)} e(A(t), \phi(t)) dv_g \geq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}, \quad \forall R > 0. \quad (5.18)$$

For, otherwise, there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that for any sufficiently small $\delta > 0$,

$$\mathcal{E}(T_0 - \delta^2, 2R_0) := \max_{x \in \Sigma} \int_{B_{2R_0}(x) \times \{T_0 - \delta^2\}} e(A(t), \phi(t)) dv_g < \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}.$$

This, combined with lemma 4.2, implies that there exists $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that for $T_0 - \delta^2 \leq t \leq T_0 - \delta^2 + (\theta_0 R_0)^2$,

$$\mathcal{E}(t, R_0) \leq \mathcal{E}(T_0 - \delta^2, 2R_0) + \frac{C(t - (T_0 - \delta^2))}{R_0^2} \mathcal{E}(0) \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} + C\theta_0^2 \mathcal{E}(0) \leq \epsilon_0.$$

By choosing $\delta = \theta_0 R_0$, this implies that

$$\sup_{T_0 - \theta_0^2 R_0^2 \leq t \leq T_0} \mathcal{E}(t, R_0) \leq \epsilon_0. \quad (5.19)$$

From (5.19), we can repeat the argument from step 1 to step 4 to conclude that there exists a $s \in \mathcal{G}_{1,2}$ such that $(s^*A(T_0), s^*\phi(T_0)) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$ and hence T_0 is not a maximal time interval. This contradicts the definition of T_0 . The improvement of $\frac{\alpha_0}{2}$ in (5.18) to $\alpha(M)$ in (5.1) follows from the blow-up analysis performed near T_0 , see section 6 below. \square

For the uniqueness of weak solutions, we have

Theorem 5.2. *If the initial data belongs to $W^{2,p}$ for $p > 2$, then the weak solution is unique.*

Proof. The proof follows exactly as the smooth case in Theorem 3.1. Note that when $A \in W^{2,p}$, $p > 2$, the coefficients of equation (3.4) belong to C^0 and the uniqueness of solutions to the ordinary differential equation is guaranteed. Thus the arguments in the proof of uniqueness part of Theorem 3.1 holds the same in this case. \square

6 Compactness of approximate YMH fields

In [24], the first author discussed in detail the convergence and blow-up behavior of a sequence of YMH fields. It was shown that a sequence of YMH fields with bounded YMH energy converges to a YMH field along with possibly finitely many bubbles, i.e., nontrivial harmonic maps from \mathbb{S}^2 , which are attached to the limiting YMH field. This phenomenon, referred as *bubble tree* convergence, has first been established in the study of compactness of harmonic maps from Riemann surfaces, see for example [18].

Extending the arguments in [24], we can prove the bubble tree convergence of a sequence of approximate YMH fields, which is needed to describe the asymptotic behavior of the YMH heat flow at both finite singular times and the time infinity. More precisely, for a pair $(A, \phi) \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$, set

$$\tau_1(A, \phi) = D_A^* F_A + \phi^* D_A \phi; \quad \tau_2(A, \phi) = D_A^* D_A \phi + (\mu(\phi) - c) \cdot \nabla \mu(\phi).$$

We will show the bubble tree convergence of a sequence $(A_n, \phi_n) \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$, with bounded YMH energies $\mathcal{E}(A_n, \phi_n)$, satisfying

$$\|\tau_1(A_n, \phi_n)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\tau_2(A_n, \phi_n)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq C. \quad (6.1)$$

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose $(A_n, \phi_n) \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$ is a sequence of fields, with bounded YMH energies $\mathcal{E}(A_n, \phi_n)$, which satisfies (6.1). Then there exist a subsequence of (A_n, ϕ_n) , still denoted as (A_n, ϕ_n) , a set of finitely many points $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\} \subset \Sigma$, and an approximate YMH field $(A_\infty, \phi_\infty) \in \mathcal{A}_{2,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{2,2}$, with L^2 -tension fields $\tau_1(A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$ and $\tau_2(A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$, such that the following properties hold:*

1. *There exist gauge transformations $\{s_n\} \subset \mathcal{G}_{1,2}$ such that $s_n^* A_n \rightarrow A_\infty$ in $H^1(\Sigma)$ and $s_n^* \phi_n \rightarrow \phi_\infty$ in $H_{loc}^1(\Sigma \setminus \mathbf{x})$.*
2. *There exist finitely many nontrivial harmonic maps $\omega_{ij} : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow M$, $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l$, such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(A_n, \phi_n) = \mathcal{E}(A_\infty, \phi_\infty) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l} \mathcal{E}(\omega_{ij}),$$

where $\mathcal{E}(\omega_{ij}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla \omega_{ij}|^2 dv_{g_0}$ is the Dirichlet energy of ω_{ij} .

3. The images of the bubbles $\{\omega_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l}$ and the limiting map ϕ_∞ are connected.

Theorem 6.1 has been proved by [24] for YMH fields (A_n, ϕ_n) , i.e., $\tau_1(A_n, \phi_n) = \tau_2(A_n, \phi_n) = 0$. It turns out the argument in [24] can be modified to prove Theorem 6.1 on approximate YMH fields satisfying the condition (6.1). Here we sketch a proof. First we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $x_0 \in \Sigma$ and $r_0 > 0$ if $A \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2}|_{B_{r_0}(x_0)}$ is a connection on the ball $B_{r_0}(x_0) \subset \Sigma$, with $D_A^* F_A \in L^2(B_{r_0}(x_0))$, which satisfies*

$$r_0 \|F_A\|_{L^2(B_{r_0}(x_0))} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad (6.2)$$

then there exists a gauge transformation $s \in \mathcal{G}_{2,2}$ such that the following estimate holds:

$$\|s^* A\|_{H^2(B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0))} \leq C(r_0)(\|F_A\|_{L^2(B_{r_0}(x_0))} + \|D_A^* F_A\|_{L^2(B_{r_0}(x_0))}). \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. If we define $\hat{A}(x) = r_0 A(x_0 + r_0 x)$ and $\hat{g}(x) = g(x_0 + r_0 x)$ for $x \in B_1$, then by simple scaling arguments we have that $\hat{A} \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2}|_{B_1}$, with $D_{\hat{A}}^* F_{\hat{A}} \in L^2(B_1)$, and satisfies

$$\|D_{\hat{A}}^* F_{\hat{A}}\|_{L^2(B_1)} = r_0^2 \|D_A^* F_A\|_{L^2(B_{r_0}(x_0))} \leq \|D_A^* F_A\|_{L^2(B_{r_0}(x_0))},$$

and

$$\int_{B_1} |F_{\hat{A}}|^2 dv_{g_0} = \int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)} r_0^2 |F_A|^2 dv_g < \epsilon_0^2.$$

Thus we may assume, for simplicity, that $x_0 = 0$ and $r_0 = 1$. Since $A \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2}$ satisfies (6.2), it follows from [28] Theorem 1.3 that there exists a gauge transform $s \in \mathcal{G}_{1,2}$ such that $\tilde{A} = s^* A$ satisfies

$$d^* \tilde{A} = 0, \quad \|\tilde{A}\|_{H^1(B_1)} \leq C \|F_A\|_{L^2(B_1)}. \quad (6.4)$$

Using $d^* \tilde{A} = 0$, we obtain the following elliptic equation:

$$\Delta \tilde{A} + [\tilde{A}, d\tilde{A}] + [\tilde{A}, [\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}]] = D_{\tilde{A}}^* F_{\tilde{A}}. \quad (6.5)$$

By Sobolev's embedding $H^1(B_1) \hookrightarrow L^q(B_1)$ for any $1 < q < +\infty$, and the standard $W^{2,p}$ -estimate on (6.5), we have that for any $1 < p < 2$, $\tilde{A} \in W^{2,p}(B_{\frac{3}{4}})$ and

$$\|\tilde{A}\|_{W^{2,p}(B_{\frac{3}{4}})} \leq C \left(\|\tilde{A}\|_{H^1(B_1)} + \|D_{\tilde{A}}^* F_{\tilde{A}}\|_{L^2(B_1)} \right) \leq C (\|F_A\|_{L^2(B_1)} + \|D_A^* F_A\|_{L^2(B_1)}).$$

This, combined with Sobolev's embedding $W^{2,p}(B_{\frac{3}{4}}) \hookrightarrow C^0(B_{\frac{3}{4}})$, yields $\tilde{A} \in C^0(B_{\frac{3}{4}})$ and

$$\|\tilde{A}\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{3}{4}})} \leq C (\|F_A\|_{L^2(B_1)} + \|D_A^* F_A\|_{L^2(B_1)}).$$

Now we can apply $W^{2,2}$ -estimate to (6.5) to obtain that $\tilde{A} \in W^{2,2}(B_{\frac{1}{2}})$ satisfies the desired estimate (6.3). This, after scaling back to the original scale, completes the proof. \square

Following the gluing procedure given by [28] and Lemma 6.2, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 6.3. *For any $\Lambda > 0$, there exists $C(\Lambda) > 0$ such that if $A \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2}$, with $D_A^* F_A \in L^2(\Sigma)$, has*

$$\|F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq \Lambda, \quad (6.6)$$

then there is a gauge transform $s \in \mathcal{G}_{2,2}$ such that $\tilde{A} = s^ A \in \mathcal{A}_{2,2}$ satisfies*

$$\|\tilde{A}\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} \leq C(\Lambda) \left(\|F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|D_A^* F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right). \quad (6.7)$$

Proof. Choose $0 < r_0 \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{\Lambda}$, we have

$$r_0 \|F_A\|_{L^2(B_{r_0}(x_0))} \leq \epsilon_0$$

holds for any $x_0 \in \Sigma$. Since Σ is compact, there exist a positive integer $k_0 \leq Cr_0^{-2}$ and points $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k_0}\} \subset \Sigma$ such that Σ is covered by $\{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq k_0}$. Applying Lemma 6.2 on each $B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq k_0$, and using the gluing technique by [28], we can find a gauge transform $s \in \mathcal{G}_{1,2}$ such that $\tilde{A} = s^* A \in \mathcal{A}_{2,2}$ satisfies, for $1 \leq i \leq k_0$,

$$\|\tilde{A}\|_{H^2(B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_i))}^2 \leq C(r_0) \left(\|F_A\|_{L^2(B_{r_0}(x_i))}^2 + \|D_A^* F_A\|_{L^2(B_{r_0}(x_i))}^2 \right). \quad (6.8)$$

It is clear that (6.7) follows by summing up (6.8) over $1 \leq i \leq k_0$. Since $ds = sA - \tilde{A}s$, it is easy to see that $s \in \mathcal{G}_{2,2}$. This completes the proof. \square

Before presenting the proof of Theorem 6.1, we recall the well-known bubble-tree convergence result of a sequence of approximate harmonic maps, with bounded Dirichlet energies and L^2 -tension fields, which was proved in [5] and [15].

Theorem 6.4. *Let $\{u_n\} \subset H^2(B_1, M)$ have uniformly bounded Dirichlet energies $\mathcal{E}(u_n, B_1)$ and have their tension fields $\tau(u_n) := \Delta_g u_n + \Pi(u_n)(du_n, du_n)$ uniformly bounded in $L^2(B_1)$. Then there exist an approximate harmonic map $u_\infty \in H^2(B_1, M)$, with tension field $\tau(u_\infty) \in L^2(B_1)$, such that after passing to a subsequence, u_n bubble tree converges to u_∞ . More precisely, there exist finitely many points $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\} \subset B_1$ such that the following properties hold:*

1. u_n converges to u_∞ strongly in $H_{loc}^1(B_1 \setminus \mathbf{x})$.
2. There exist finitely many bubbles (i.e. nontrivial harmonic maps) $\omega_{ij} : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow M, 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l$, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(u_n, B_r) = \mathcal{E}(u_\infty, B_r) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l} \mathcal{E}(\omega_{ij}),$$

where $0 < r < 1$ is such that $\mathbf{x} \subset B_r$.

3. The images of the bubbles $\{\omega_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l}$ and the limiting map u_∞ are connected.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Since A_n has uniformly bounded L^2 -curvatures, Proposition 6.3 implies that there exists a sequence of gauge transformations $\{s_n\} \subset \mathcal{G}_{2,2}$ such that $\tilde{A}_n := s_n^* A_n \in \mathcal{A}_{2,2}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{A}_n\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} &\leq C \left(\|F_{A_n}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|D_{A_n}^* F_{A_n}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|F_{A_n}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\tau_1(A_n, \phi_n)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|D_{A_n} \phi_n\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right) \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Therefore there exists $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{A}_{2,2}$ such that $\tilde{A}_n \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ strongly in $H^1(\Sigma)$. Set $\tilde{\phi}_n = s_n^*(\phi_n)$. It follows that $\tilde{\phi}_n \in \mathcal{S}_{2,2}$. Now we claim that on each local chart U of Σ , $\tilde{\phi}_n$ can be viewed as a sequence of approximate harmonic maps from (U, g) to M , with uniformly bounded L^2 -tension fields $\tau(\tilde{\phi}_n)$. In fact, since $\mathcal{F}|_U$ is a trivial bundle, we can identify $\tilde{\phi}_n$ on U as a map u_n from U to M . To write the equation of u_n , we isometrically embed M into some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^L and let Π denote its second fundamental form. Write $D_{\tilde{A}_n} = d + \tilde{A}_n$ and $D_{\tilde{A}_n}^* = d^* + \tilde{A}_n^*$. It is easy to see that $\tilde{A}_n^* = -\tilde{A}_n$. Hence we have, on U ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(u_n) &:= \Delta_g u_n + \Pi(u_n)(du_n, du_n) \\ &= \tau_2(\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{\phi}_n) - (\mu(\tilde{\phi}_n) - c)\nabla\mu(\tilde{\phi}_n) - d^* \tilde{A}_n \cdot u_n - 2\tilde{A}_n \cdot du_n - \tilde{A}_n^2 \cdot u_n. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

Here Δ_g is the Laplace operator with respect to the metric g in U . Applying (6.9) to (6.10), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau(u_n)\|_{L^2(U)} &\leq C \left[\|\tau_1(A_n, \phi_n)\|_{L^2(U)} + \|\tau_2(\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{\phi}_n)\|_{L^2(U)} + \|F_{A_n}\|_{L^2(U)} + \|D_{\tilde{A}_n} \tilde{\phi}_n\|_{L^2(U)} \right] \\ &\leq C \left[\|\tau_1(A_n, \phi_n)\|_{L^2(U)} + \|\tau_2(A_n, \phi_n)\|_{L^2(U)} + \|F_{A_n}\|_{L^2(U)} + \|D_{A_n} \phi_n\|_{L^2(U)} \right] \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\tau(u_n)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(U)$. Thus we may apply Theorem 6.4 on each local chart U to conclude that $\tilde{\phi}_n$ bubble-tree converges to a limit section $\phi_\infty \in \mathcal{S}_{2,2}$, namely the properties 2 and 3 in Theorem 6.1 hold. This completes the proof. \square

7 Asymptotic behavior of YMH flow at finite time singularities and time infinity

In this section, we will discuss the asymptotic behaviors of the global weak solution (A, ϕ) to the YHM flow equation (1.2) under the initial condition (1.3), established in Theorem 5.1, at each possible finite singular time T_i , $1 \leq i \leq L$, and at time infinity. The main results of this section are consequences of the compactness properties on approximate YMH fields obtained in the previous section.

Theorem 7.1. *For $(A_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$, assume that $0 < T_1 < +\infty$ is the maximal time interval for the local weak solution (A, ϕ) to the YMH flow equation (1.2), under the initial condition (1.3), constructed by Theorem 5.1. Then the following properties hold:*

1. *There exists a pair $(A(T), \phi(T)) \in \mathcal{A}_{0,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$ such that $(A(t), \phi(t)) \rightarrow (A(T), \phi(T))$ in $L^2(\Sigma)$, and $\phi(t) \rightarrow \phi(T)$ in $H^1(\Sigma)$, as $t \rightarrow T^-$.*

2. There exist a set of finitely many points $\mathbf{x} := \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset \Sigma$, with $k \leq \frac{\mathcal{L}(0)}{\epsilon_0}$, and a gauge transformation $s \in \mathcal{G}_{1,2}$ such that $(s^*A(t), s^*\phi(t))$ converges to $(s^*A(T), s^*\phi(T))$ in $C_{loc}^\infty(\Sigma \setminus \mathbf{x})$, as $t \rightarrow T^-$.
3. There exist finitely many nontrivial harmonic maps $\omega_{ij} : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow M, 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \mathcal{E}(A(t), \phi(t)) = \mathcal{E}(A(T), \phi(T)) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l} \mathcal{E}(\omega_{ij}). \quad (7.1)$$

Proof. We may assume, after a suitable gauge fixing, that $(A, \phi) \in C^\infty(\Sigma \times (0, T))$. Since $(A, \phi) \in C([0, T], L^2(\Sigma))$ and $\phi \in L^\infty([0, T], H^1(\Sigma))$, the property 1 follows easily. For the property 2, define the energy concentration set $\mathbf{x} \subset \Sigma$ by

$$\mathbf{x} := \bigcap_{r>0} \left\{ x \in \Sigma : \limsup_{t \uparrow T^-} \int_{B_r(x)} (|F_A|^2 + |D_A \phi|^2 + |\mu(\phi) - c|^2)(t) dv_g \geq \epsilon_0 \right\}. \quad (7.2)$$

By (4.3) and a simple covering argument, we can show that $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_L\} \subset \Sigma$ is a set of finitely many points, with $L \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}(0)}{\epsilon_0}$. For any $x_0 \in \Sigma \setminus \mathbf{x}$, it follows from (7.2) that there exist $r_0 > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)} (|F_A|^2 + |D_A \phi|^2 + |\mu(\phi) - c|^2)(t) dv_g < \epsilon_0, \quad \forall T - \delta_0^2 \leq t < T.$$

Hence, for any compact set $K \subset \Sigma \setminus \mathbf{x}$, by a simple covering argument there exists $r_1 = r_1(K) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in K} \int_{B_{r_1}(x)} (|F_A|^2 + |D_A \phi|^2 + |\mu(\phi) - c|^2)(t) dv_g < \epsilon_0, \quad \forall T - r_1^2 \leq t < T. \quad (7.3)$$

Hence by Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 we have that

$$\max_{x \in K} \left\| |\nabla_A^l F_A| + |\nabla_A^{l+1} \phi| \right\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{r_1}{2}}(x) \times [T - \frac{r_1^2}{4}, T])} \leq C(l, \epsilon_0, K), \quad \forall l \geq 0.$$

Similar to the argument in the proof of Theorem 5.1, this, combined with the YM flow equation (1.2) and the fact $A(t) \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ for $t = T - \frac{r_1^2}{4}$, implies that $(A, \phi) \in C^\infty(K_{\frac{r_1}{2}} \times [T - \frac{r_1^2}{4}, T])$ and

$$\|(A, \phi)\|_{C^l(K_{\frac{r_1}{2}} \times [T - \frac{r_1^2}{4}, T])} \leq C(l, \epsilon_0, K), \quad \forall l \geq 0. \quad (7.4)$$

Here $K_r := \{x \in \Sigma : \text{dist}(x, K) < r\}$ denotes r -neighborhood of K , for $r > 0$. It follows from (7.4) and the property 1 that $(A(t), \phi(t)) \rightarrow (A(T), \phi(T))$ in $C^\infty(K_{\frac{r_1}{4}})$ as $t \rightarrow T^-$. This yields property 2, since $K \subset \Sigma \setminus \mathbf{x}$ is arbitrary.

For the property 3, we may assume, for simplicity, that $\mathbf{x} = \{x_0\}$ only consists of a single point. First we need to show

Claim 1. There exists a constant $m \geq \epsilon_0$ such that

$$e(A(t), \phi(t)) dv_g \rightharpoonup e(A(T), \phi(T)) dv_g + m \delta_{x_0} \quad (7.5)$$

for $t \rightarrow T^-$, as convergence of Radon measures on Σ . Here as in the section 1,

$$e(A(t), \phi(t)) = (|F_A|^2 + |\nabla_A \phi|^2 + |\mu(\phi) - c|^2)(t),$$

and δ_{x_0} denotes the delta mass centered at x_0 .

The proof is based on Lemma 4.2 and can be carried out similarly to [15] Lemma 4.1. For the convenience of readers, we sketch it here. For $\mathbf{x} = \{x_0\}$ and $(A(t), \phi(t)) \rightarrow (A(T), \phi(T))$ in $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Sigma \setminus \{x_0\})$ by the property 2, we have that $F_{A(t)} \rightharpoonup F_{A(T)}$ in $L^2(\Sigma)$. Hence, for given two sequences $t_i^j \rightarrow T^-$, there exist $m_j \geq \epsilon_0$, $j = 1, 2$, such that

$$e(A(t_i^j), \phi(t_i^j)) dv_g \rightharpoonup e(A(T), \phi(T)) dv_g + m_j \delta_{x_0}, \text{ for } i \rightarrow \infty,$$

as convergence of Radon measures, for $j = 1, 2$. It suffices to show $m_1 = m_2$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\int_{B_{2\delta}(x_0)} e(A(T), \phi(T)) dv_g < \epsilon$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &\geq \int_{B_{2\delta}(x_0)} e(A(t_i^1), \phi(t_i^1)) dv_g - \epsilon \\ &\geq \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} e(A(t_i^2), \phi(t_i^2)) dv_g - C \frac{|t_i^1 - t_i^2|}{\delta^2} \mathcal{E}(0) - \left| \int_{t_i^1}^{t_i^2} \int_{\Sigma} \left(\left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right|^2 \right) \right| - \epsilon \\ &\geq \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} e(A(t_i^2), \phi(t_i^2)) dv_g - 2\epsilon \geq m_2 - 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This yields $m_1 \geq m_2$. Similarly, we have $m_2 \geq m_1$. Hence $m_1 = m_2$ and (7.5) follows.

To simplify the presentation, assume further that $(\Sigma, g) = (\mathbb{R}^2, dx^2)$, $x_0 = (0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and $T = 0$. From (7.5), there exist $t_i \uparrow 0$ and $\lambda_i \downarrow 0$ such that

$$\lim_{t_i \uparrow 0} \int_{B_{\lambda_i}} e(A, \phi)(x, t_i) dx = m. \quad (7.6)$$

Define $A_i(x, t) = \lambda_i A(\lambda_i x, t_i + \lambda_i^2 t)$, $\phi_i(x, t) = \phi(\lambda_i x, t_i + \lambda_i^2 t)$ for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [-2, 0]$. Then (A_i, ϕ_i) solves the scaled version of the YMH heat flow equation (1.2):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} = -D_{A_i}^* F_{A_i} - \lambda_i^2 \phi_i^* D_{A_i} \phi_i, \\ \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial t} = -D_{A_i}^* D_{A_i} \phi_i - \lambda_i (\mu(\phi_i) - c) \cdot \nabla(\mu(\phi_i)), \end{cases} \quad (7.7)$$

and satisfies

$$\int_{-2}^0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\lambda_i^{-2} \left| \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial t} \right|^2 \right) = \int_{t_i - 2\lambda_i^2}^{t_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right|^2 \right) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty. \quad (7.8)$$

Therefore, by Fubini's theorem, there exists $\tau_i \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\lambda_i^{-2} \left| \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial t} \right|^2 \right) (x, \tau_i) = 0. \quad (7.9)$$

From the local energy inequality (4.4), we also have

$$\int_{B_{R\lambda_i}} e(A, \phi)(x, t_i + \lambda_i^2 \tau_i) \geq \int_{B_{\lambda_i}} e(A, \phi)(x, t_i) - CR^{-2} \mathcal{E}(0) \geq m + o(1) - CR^{-2} \mathcal{E}(0).$$

This and (7.5) imply that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{R\lambda_i}} e(A, \phi)(x, t_i + \lambda_i^2 \tau_i) = m. \quad (7.10)$$

Define $B_i(x) = A_i(x, \tau_i)$ and $\psi_i(x) = \phi_i(x, \tau_i)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. It follows from the (7.10), (7.9), and (7.7) that $(B_i, \psi_i) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$ satisfies:

$$\int_{B_R} (\lambda_i^{-2} |F_{B_i}|^2 + |D_{B_i} \psi_i|^2 + \lambda_i^2 |\mu(\psi_i) - c|^2)(x) = \int_{B_{R\lambda_i}} e(A, \phi)(x, t_i + \lambda_i^2 \tau_i) = m + o(1), \quad (7.11)$$

$$\int_{B_R} |B_i(x)|^2 = \int_{B_{R\lambda_i}} |A|^2(x, t_i + \lambda_i^2 \tau_i) \leq C, \quad (7.12)$$

$$\int_{B_R} |F_{B_i}(x)|^2 = \lambda_i^2 \int_{B_{R\lambda_i}} |F_A|^2(x, t_i + \lambda_i^2 \tau_i) \leq m \lambda_i^2, \quad (7.13)$$

$$\int_{B_R} |D_{B_i}^* F_{B_i}(x)|^2 \leq C \int_{B_R} (|\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t}|^2 + \lambda_i^4 |D_{A_i} \phi_i|^2)(x, t_i + \lambda_i^2 \tau_i) \leq C(o(1) + \lambda_i^2) \lambda_i^2, \quad (7.14)$$

and

$$\int_{B_R} |D_{B_i}^* D_{B_i} \psi_i(x)|^2 \leq C \int_{B_R} (|\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial t}|^2 + \lambda_i^2 |D_{A_i} \phi_i|^2)(x, t_i + \lambda_i^2 \tau_i) \leq C \lambda_i^2 + o(1). \quad (7.15)$$

From (7.12), (7.13), and (7.14), we can apply Lemma 6.2 to conclude that on B_R there exist a sequence of gauge transformations $\{s_i\} \subset \mathcal{G}_{2,2}$ such that $\tilde{B}_i := s_i^* B_i$ satisfies

$$\|\tilde{B}_i\|_{H^2(B_R)} \leq C(R) (\|F_{B_i}\|_{L^2(B_R)} + \|D_{B_i}^* F_{B_i}\|_{L^2(B_R)}) \leq C(R) \lambda_i^2. \quad (7.16)$$

Thus we may assume that \tilde{B}_i converges to 0 weakly in $H^2(B_R)$ and strongly in $H^1(B_R)$. While (7.15) implies that $\tilde{\psi}_i = s_i^* \psi_i$ satisfies

$$\int_{B_R} |D_{\tilde{B}_i}^* D_{\tilde{B}_i} \tilde{\psi}_i(x)|^2 = \int_{B_R} |D_{B_i}^* D_{B_i} \psi_i(x)|^2 \leq C \lambda_i^2 + o(1). \quad (7.17)$$

From (7.16) and (7.17), we can verify, similar to that of Theorem 6.1, that $\{\tilde{\psi}_i\}$ is a sequence of approximate harmonic maps, whose tension fields $\tau(\tilde{\psi}_i)$ have $\|\tau(\tilde{\psi}_i)\|_{L^2(B_R)} \leq C \lambda_i$. Now we need

Claim 2. After passing to a subsequence, $\tilde{\psi}_i$ converges to a constant map in $L^2(B_R)$.

To see this, observe that

$$\int_{B_R} |\tilde{\psi}_i(x) - s_i^* \phi_i(x, -\lambda_i^{-2} t_i)|^2 = \int_{B_R} |\phi_i(x, \tau_i) - \phi_i(x, -\lambda_i^{-2} t_i)|^2 \leq 4 \int_{-2}^2 \int_{B_R} |\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial t}|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad (7.18)$$

$$\int_{B_R} |D_{s_i^* A_i} s_i^* \phi_i|^2(x, -\lambda_i^{-2} t_i) = \int_{B_R} |D_{A_i} \phi_i|^2(x, -\lambda_i^{-2} t_i) = \int_{B_{R\lambda_i}} |D_A \phi|^2(x, 0) \rightarrow 0, \quad (7.19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} |s_i^* A_i(x, -\lambda_i^{-2} t_i)|^2 &\leq 2 \int_{B_R} |s_i^* A_i(x, -\lambda_i^{-2} t_i) - \tilde{B}_i(x)|^2 + 2 \int_{B_R} |\tilde{B}_i(x)|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \int_{B_R} |s_i^* A_i(x, -\lambda_i^{-2} t_i) - s_i^* A_i(x, \tau_i)|^2 + 2 \int_{B_R} |\tilde{B}_i(x)|^2 \\ &= 2 \int_{B_R} |A_i(x, -\lambda_i^{-2} t_i) - A_i(x, \tau_i)|^2 + 2 \int_{B_R} |\tilde{B}_i(x)|^2 \\ &\leq 4 \int_{-2}^2 \int_{B_R} \left| \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} \right|^2 + 2 \int_{B_R} |\tilde{B}_i(x)|^2 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

Claim 2 now follows from (7.18), (7.19), and (7.20).

For each $R > 0$, we now can apply Theorem 6.4 to $\tilde{\psi}_i$ on B_R to conclude that there exist N_R bubbles $\{\omega_{l,R}\}_{l=1}^{N_R}$, with $N_R \leq \frac{m}{\alpha(M)}$, such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} |D_{\tilde{B}_i} \tilde{\psi}_i|^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{N_R} \mathcal{E}(\omega_{l,R}). \quad (7.21)$$

We may assume that there exists an integer $d \in [1, \frac{m}{\alpha(M)}]$ such that for $N_R = d$ for $R \gg 1$. Note that for $l = 1, \dots, d$, $\{\omega_{l,R}\}_{R \gg 1}$ is a sequence of harmonic maps from \mathbb{S}^2 to M whose energies are uniformly bounded. Hence we can apply the energy identity result for harmonic maps (cf. [18]) to conclude that for $l = 1, \dots, d$, there exist N_l bubbles $\{\omega_{l,j}\}_{j=1}^{N_l}$, with $N_l \leq \frac{m}{\alpha(M)}$, such that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\omega_{l,R}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \mathcal{E}(\omega_{l,j}). \quad (7.22)$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} |D_{\tilde{B}_i} \tilde{\psi}_i|^2 = \sum_{l=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \mathcal{E}(\omega_{l,j}). \quad (7.23)$$

It is readily seen that the proof of property 3 will be complete if we can show

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} \lambda_i^{-2} |F_{B_i}|^2 = 0. \quad (7.24)$$

Set $\hat{t}_i = t_i + \lambda_i^2 \tau_i$. Apply Uhlenbeck's gauge fixing for $A(\cdot, \hat{t}_i)$ on B_{δ_0} for a small $\delta_0 > 0$ (here $\delta_0 > 0$ is chosen so that for $1 < p < 2$, $\int_{B_{\delta_0}} |F_A|^p(x, \hat{t}_i)$ is small, which is possible since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |F_A|^2(x, \hat{t}_i) \leq \mathcal{E}(0)$), we obtain a sequence of gauge transformations $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{G}_{2,2}$ such that $\hat{A}_i(x) = \alpha_i^* A(x, \hat{t}_i)$ satisfies

$$d^* \hat{A}_i = 0 \text{ in } B_{\delta_0}, \quad \|\hat{A}_i\|_{H^1(B_{\delta_0})} \leq C \|F_A(\hat{t}_i)\|_{L^2(B_{\delta_0})}. \quad (7.25)$$

Set $\widehat{B}_i(x) = \lambda_i \widehat{A}_i(\lambda_i x, \widehat{t}_i)$ for $x \in B_{\delta_0 \lambda_i^{-1}}$. Then we have

$$\int_{B_R} \lambda_i^{-2} |F_{B_i}|^2 = \int_{B_R} \lambda_i^{-2} |F_{\widehat{B}_i}|^2 = \int_{B_R} |dC_i + \lambda_i [C_i, C_i]|^2, \quad (7.26)$$

where $C_i(x) = \widehat{A}_i(\lambda_i x, \widehat{t}_i)$ for $x \in B_{\delta_0 \lambda_i^{-1}}$.

From (7.7) and (7.25), we see that \widehat{A}_i solves the elliptic equation:

$$\Delta_0 \widehat{A}_i(x) = -(\widehat{A}_i \# d\widehat{A}_i + \widehat{A}_i \# \widehat{A}_i \# \widehat{A}_i - \alpha_i^* \phi D_{\widehat{A}_i} \alpha_i^* \phi)(x, \widehat{t}_i) - \frac{\partial(\alpha_i^* A)}{\partial t}(x, \widehat{t}_i), \text{ in } B_{\delta_0}. \quad (7.27)$$

Here Δ_0 denotes the standard Laplace operator on \mathbb{R}^2 . It follows from (7.27) that C_i solves

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_0 C_i(x) &= -\lambda_i^2 (\widehat{A}_i \# d\widehat{A}_i + \widehat{A}_i \# \widehat{A}_i \# \widehat{A}_i - \alpha_i^* \phi D_{\widehat{A}_i} \alpha_i^* \phi)(\lambda_i x, \widehat{t}_i) - \lambda_i^2 \frac{\partial(\alpha_i^* A)}{\partial t}(\lambda_i x, \widehat{t}_i) \\ &= I_1^i + I_2^i + I_3^i + I_4^i, \end{aligned} \quad (7.28)$$

in $B_{\delta_0 \lambda_i^{-1}}$. It is easy to see

$$\int_{B_{\delta_0 \lambda_i^{-1}}} |\nabla_0 C_i|^2 = \int_{B_{\delta_0}} |\nabla_0 \widehat{A}_i|^2(\widehat{t}_i) \leq C. \quad (7.29)$$

Using (7.25) and (7.9), we can estimate

$$\|I_1^i\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(B_{\delta_0 \lambda_i^{-1}})} \leq C \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\widehat{A}_i(\widehat{t}_i)\|_{L^4(B_{\delta_0})} \|d\widehat{A}_i(\widehat{t}_i)\|_{L^2(B_{\delta_0})} \leq C \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\widehat{A}_i(\widehat{t}_i)\|_{H^1(B_{\delta_0})}^2 \leq C \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\|I_2^i\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(B_{\delta_0 \lambda_i^{-1}})} \leq C \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\widehat{A}_i(\widehat{t}_i)\|_{L^4(B_{\delta_0})}^3 \leq C \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\|I_3^i\|_{L^2(B_{\delta_0 \lambda_i^{-1}})} \leq C \lambda_i \|D_{\widehat{A}_i} \alpha_i^* \phi(\widehat{t}_i)\|_{L^2(B_{\delta_0})} = C \lambda_i \|D_A \phi(\widehat{t}_i)\|_{L^2(B_{\delta_0})} \leq C \lambda_i,$$

and

$$\|I_4^i\|_{L^2(B_{\delta_0 \lambda_i^{-1}})}^2 = \lambda_i^2 \int_{B_{\delta_0}} \left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(\widehat{t}_i) \right|^2 = \lambda_i^{-2} \int_{B_{\delta_0 \lambda_i^{-1}}} \left| \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t}(\widehat{t}_i) \right|^2 = o(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying $W^{2, \frac{4}{3}}$ -estimate to the equation (7.28), we conclude that $C_i \in W^{2, \frac{4}{3}}(B_{\frac{\delta_0}{2\lambda_i}})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_0 C_i\|_{W^{1, \frac{4}{3}}(K)} &\leq C(K) \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \|I_j^i\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(B_{\delta_0 \lambda_i^{-1}})} + \|\nabla_0 C_i\|_{L^2(B_{\delta_0 \lambda_i^{-1}})} \right] \\ &\leq C(K) [1 + o(1) + \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}}] \end{aligned} \quad (7.30)$$

for any compact subset $K \subset B_{\frac{\delta_0}{2\lambda_i}}$. Hence we may assume, after passing to a subsequence, that $C_i \rightarrow C$ in $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. From (7.28) and (7.29), we see that

$$\Delta_0 C = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_0 C|^2 < +\infty.$$

Thus C must be a constant. This implies that for any $R > 0$,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} |\nabla_0 C_i|^2 = 0. \quad (7.31)$$

Observe that by (7.25)

$$\int_{B_{\delta_0 \lambda_i^{-1}}} |\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} C_i|^4 = \int_{B_{\delta_0}} |\widehat{A}_i|^4 \leq C, \text{ and } \int_{B_{\delta_0 \lambda_i^{-1}}} |\nabla_0(\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} C_i)|^2 = \lambda_i \int_{B_{\delta_0}} |\nabla_0 \widehat{A}_i|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus we may assume that there exists a constant \widetilde{C} such that $\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} C_i \rightarrow \widetilde{C}$ in $L^4_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Since

$$\int_{B_L} |\widetilde{C}|^4 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_L} |\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} C_i|^4 \leq C$$

holds for any $L > 0$, we must have $\widetilde{C} = 0$. Hence for any $R > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} |\lambda_i [C_i, C_i]|^2 = 0. \quad (7.32)$$

It is clear that (7.24) follows from (7.26), (7.31) and (7.32). The proof is now complete. \square

For the asymptotic behavior of the global weak solution constructed by Theorem 5.1 at time infinity, we have

Theorem 7.2. *For $(A_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$, assume that (A, ϕ) is the global weak solution to the YMH flow equation (1.2), under the initial condition (1.3), constructed by Theorem 5.1. Then the following properties hold:*

1. *There exist $t_i \uparrow +\infty$, a sequence of gauge transformations $\{s_i\} \subset \mathcal{G}_{2,2}$, a set of finitely many points $\mathbf{x} := \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset \Sigma$, with $k \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}(0)}{\epsilon_0}$, and a Yang-Mills-Higgs field $(A_\infty, \phi_\infty) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$ such that $s_i^* A(t_i) \rightarrow A_\infty$ in $H^1(\Sigma)$, $s_i^* \phi(t_i) \rightarrow \phi_\infty$ in $H^1(\Sigma)$, and $s_i^* \phi(t_i) \rightarrow \phi_\infty$ in $H^1_{\text{loc}}(\Sigma \setminus \mathbf{x})$, as $i \rightarrow \infty$.*
2. *There exist finitely many nontrivial harmonic maps $\omega_{ij} : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow M$, $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l$ such that*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(A(t_i), \phi(t_i)) = \mathcal{E}(A_\infty, \phi_\infty) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l} \mathcal{E}(\omega_{ij}). \quad (7.33)$$

3. *The images of $\{\omega_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l}$ and that of ϕ_∞ are connected.*

Proof. For simplicity, we may assume that $(A, \phi) \in C^\infty((0, +\infty), \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S})$. From (4.3), there exist $t_i \uparrow +\infty$ and $0 < L_0 < +\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(A(t_i), \phi(t_i)) = L_0, \quad (7.34)$$

and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Sigma} (|\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}|^2 + |\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}|^2)(x, t_i) = 0. \quad (7.35)$$

Set $(A_i(x), \phi_i(x)) := (A(x, t_i), \phi(x, t_i))$ for $x \in \Sigma$. Then $(A_i, \phi_i) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$ is a sequence of approximate YMH fields, with uniformly bounded YMH energies, such that its tension fields $\tau_1(A_i, \phi_i) = \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(t_i)$ and $\tau_2(A_i, \phi_i) = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(t_i)$. Hence it follows from (7.35) that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left[\|\tau_1(A_i, \phi_i)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\tau_2(A_i, \phi_i)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right] = 0. \quad (7.36)$$

Applying Lemma 6.2 to (A_i, ϕ_i) , we conclude that there exist gauge transformations $\{s_i\} \subset \mathcal{G}_{2,2}$, a YMH field $(A_\infty, \phi_\infty) \in \mathcal{A}_{2,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{2,2}$ such that

$$s_i^* A_i \rightarrow A_\infty \text{ in } H^1(\Sigma), \quad s_i^* \phi_i \rightarrow \phi_\infty \text{ in } H^1(\Sigma).$$

The remaining parts of Theorem 7.2, except the smoothness of (A_∞, ϕ_∞) , follow directly from Theorem 6.1. While smoothness of YMH fields in $\mathcal{A}_{2,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{2,2}$, after suitable gauge transformations, can be done by the bootstrap arguments (see, e.g., [23] Theorem 3.3). \square

8 Existence of global weak solutions of the YMH flow

In this section, we indicate how to extend the local weak solution (A, ϕ) to the YMH flow equation (1.2) and (1.3) beyond the first singular time to a global weak solution eventually.

Note that, different from higher dimension cases, an isolated singular point of a H^1 connection A on a Riemann surface, in general, may not be removed even if the curvature F vanishes. This can be illustrated by the following example.

Let $\mathbb{D}^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 < |x| \leq 1\}$ be the punctured disk, and P is a principal $U(1)$ -bundle over \mathbb{D}^* . Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be a constant. Then $A = ad\theta$ is a well defined smooth connection on P . Obviously, the curvature F is identically zero on the disk. However, A can be extended to the whole disk by a gauge transformation if and only if the holonomy $\text{Hol}(A) = e^{2\pi a}$ equals to identity.

The next theorem shows that the limit connection $A(T)$ obtained in Theorem 5.1 belongs to H^1 after a gauge transformation, which is needed for an extension of weak solutions to (1.2) beyond T .

Theorem 8.1. *Assume $0 < T_1 < +\infty$ is the first singular time for the local weak solution (A, ϕ) of the YMH flow equation (1.2) and (1.3), constructed by Theorem 5.1. Then there exists a time-independent gauge transformation $s \in \mathcal{A}_{2,2}$ such that $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\phi}) := (s^* A(T_1), s^* \phi(T_1)) \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$.*

Proof. Let $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset [0, T_1)$ be a sequence approaching T_1 . By Theorem 5.1, we have

$$(A_k, \phi_k) := (A(t_k), \phi(t_k)) \rightarrow (A(T_1), \phi(T_1)) \text{ in } L^2(\Sigma). \quad (8.1)$$

Since (A_k, ϕ_k) is smooth and the curvatures F_{A_k} of connection A_k satisfies $\|F_{A_k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}$ is uniformly bounded. Then by Uhlenbeck's compactness theorem (Theorem 1.5 in [28]), there exists a subsequence, still denoted by A_k , and a sequence of gauge transformations $\{s_k\} \subset \mathcal{G}_{2,2}$ such that $\tilde{A}_k := s_k^* A_k$ converges weakly in $H^1(\Sigma)$ to a limit connection $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2}$. In particular, \tilde{A}_k is bounded in $H^1(\Sigma)$. Since

$$\tilde{A}_k = s_k^{-1} ds_k + s_k^{-1} A_k s_k,$$

it follows that

$$\|ds_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq \|A_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\tilde{A}_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}$$

is bounded. Hence s_k weakly converges in $H^1(\Sigma)$ to a limit gauge transformation $s \in \mathcal{G}_{1,2}$. Then one easily check that \tilde{A}_k converges to $s^*A(T_1)$ weakly in $L^1(\Sigma)$. By the uniqueness of limit, we find that $s^*A(T_1) = \tilde{A} \in \mathcal{G}_{1,2}$. It is easy to check that $s^*\phi(T_1) \in \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$, since $s, \phi(T_1) \in H^1(\Sigma) \cap L^\infty(\Sigma)$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 8.2. It was shown by Struwe [25] Lemma 3.6 and Schlatter [21] Lemma 2.4 that for Yang-Mills flow, the connection can be extended to $C^0(H^1)$ as long as the curvature dose not concentrate. This is obtained by considering the evolution equation for the curvature F , which turns out to be a well-behaved parabolic equation. One may suspect that for the YMH flow in dimension two, the connection A may belong to $C^0(H^1)$, since the curvature does not concentrate in the subcritical dimension two. However, this may not be true since the section ϕ may concentrate and blow up at the singular time T_1 so that the equation for the curvature is not well-defined at T_1 . Thus we have to invoke Uhlenbeck's theorem to ensure the connection $A(T_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2}$ only after a suitable gauge transformation.

Applying Theorem 8.1, we can prove the following theorem on the existence of global weak solutions to (1.2).

Theorem 8.3. *Let $(A_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}$. There exist a global weak solution (A, ϕ) to the YMH flow (1.2) and (1.3) such that*

i) *the energy inequality $\mathcal{E}(A(t), \phi(t)) \leq \mathcal{E}(A_0, \phi_0)$ holds for all $0 \leq t < +\infty$, and*

$$A \in C^0([0, \infty), \mathcal{A}_{0,2}); \phi \in C^0([0, \infty), \mathcal{S}_{0,2}); F_A \in L^\infty([0, \infty), L^2); D_A\phi \in L^\infty([0, \infty), L^2).$$

ii) *There exist a positive integer $L \leq [\frac{\mathcal{E}(0)}{\alpha(M)}]$, and gauge transformations $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^L \subset \mathcal{G}_{2,2}$, and $0 = T_0 < T_1 < T_2 < \dots < T_L < +\infty$ such that for $1 \leq i \leq L$, $(s_i^*A, s_i^*\phi) \in C^\infty(\Sigma \times (T_{i-1}, T_i] \setminus \{(x_1^i, T_i), \dots, (x_{j(i)}^i, T_i)\})$ for some $j(i) \leq [\frac{\mathcal{E}(0)}{\alpha(M)}]$.*

Proof. i) By Theorem 5.1, there exists a local weak solution $(A(t), \phi(t))$ to the YMH flow equation (1.2) on the time interval $[0, T_1)$ with initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) . Assume $0 < T_1 < +\infty$ is the maximal time interval. Then T_1 can be characterized by Theorem 5.1. Let (A_1, ϕ_1) be the limit of $(A(t), \phi(t))$ in $L^2(\Sigma)$ as $t \rightarrow T_1$. Then by Theorem 8.1, we may find a gauge transformation $s_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{1,2}$ such that

$$(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{\phi}_1) := s_1^*(A_1, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2} \times \mathcal{S}_{1,2}.$$

Now at time T_1 , we set $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{\phi}_1)$ as the initial data and apply Theorem 5.1 again to obtain a local weak solution $(\tilde{A}(t), \tilde{\phi}(t))$ of the YMH flow (1.2) on some time interval $[T_1, T_2)$. Since the YMH heat flow equation is invariant under time-independent gauge transformations, $(A_1(t), \phi_1(t)) := (s_1^{-1})^*(\tilde{A}(t), \tilde{\phi}(t))$, $T_1 \leq t < T_2$, is still a solution to the YMH flow (1.2). Since

$$(A_1, \phi_1) = (A(T_1), \phi(T_1)) = (A_1(T_1), \phi_1(T_1)),$$

we can patch $(A(t), \phi(t))$, $0 \leq t \leq T_1$, and $(A_1(t), \phi_1(t))$, $T_1 \leq t < T_2$, at T_1 to form a new solution, still denoted by $(A(t), \phi(t))$, to the YMH flow (1.2) on the time interval $[0, T_2)$ such that

$$A(t) \in C^0([0, T_2), L^2); F(t) \in L^\infty([0, T_2), L^2); \phi(t) \in C^0([0, T_2), L^2), D_A\phi \in L^\infty([0, T_2], L^2).$$

T_2 can again be characterized by Theorem 5.1. Now we can repeat the above process inductively. Since at each singular time T_i , there is an energy loss of amount at least $\alpha(M)$ by Theorem 5.1, the process stops after at most L steps, for some $L \leq [\frac{\varepsilon(0)}{\alpha(M)}]$. Therefore, we obtain a global weak solution of the YMH flow (1.2), which satisfies the properties stated in Theorem 8.3. \square

Acknowledgements. Part of this work was initiated when the first author was visiting University of Kentucky in 2013, which was supported by the AMS Fan Fund China Exchange program. The first author is partially supported by NSFC No.11201387 and Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China No. 2014J01023. The second author is partially supported by NSF 1522869.

References

- [1] M. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A **308**, 524-615 (1982).
- [2] K. Chang, W. Ding and R. Ye, *Finite-time blow up of the heat flow of harmonic maps from surfaces*, J. Diff. Geom. **36**, 507-515 (1992).
- [3] K. Cieliebak, A. R. Gaio, and D. Salamon, *J-Holomorphic Curves, Moment Maps, and Invariants of Hamiltonian Group Actions*, Internat. Math. Res. Not. **16**, 832-882 (2000).
- [4] J. Chen and Y. Li, *Homotopy classes of harmonic maps of the stratified 2-spheres and applications to geometric flows*, Adv. Math. **263**, 357-388(2014).
- [5] W. Ding and G. Tian, *Energy identity for a class of approximate harmonic maps from surfaces*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **3**, 543-554 (1995).
- [6] S. K. Donaldson, *Anti-self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **50**, 1-26 (1985).
- [7] S. Donaldson and P. Kronheimer, *The Geometry of Four-manifolds*, Oxford-New York, 1990.
- [8] Y. Fang and M. Hong, *Heat flow for Yang-Mills-Higgs fields, Part I, II*, Chin. Ann. of Math. **21B**(4), 453-472 (2000).
- [9] P. Feehan, *Global existence and convergence of smooth solutions to Yang-Mills gradient flow over compact four-manifolds*, arXiv:1409.1525(2014).
- [10] M. Hong, *Heat flow for the Yang-Mills-Higgs field and the Hermitian-Yang-Mills-Higgs metric*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **20**, 23-46 (2001).
- [11] M. Hong and G. Tian, *Asymptotical behaviour of the Yang-Mills flow and singular Yang-Mills connections*, Math. Ann. **330**(3), 441-472 (2004).
- [12] M. Hong, G. Tian and H. Yin, *The Yang-Mills α -flow in vector bundles over four manifolds and its applications*, Comment. Math. Helv. **90**(1), 75-120 (2015).
- [13] A. Jaffe and C. Taubes, *Vortices and monopoles*, Boston, Birkhäuser, 1980.

- [14] J. Li and X. Zhang, *The gradient flow of Higgs pairs*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **13**, 1373-1422(2011).
- [15] F. Lin and C. Wang, *Energy identity of harmonic map flows from surfaces at finite singular time*, Calc. Var. & PDE, **6**, 369-380 (1998).
- [16] I. Mundet, *Yang-Mills-Higgs theory for symplectic fibrations*, PhD dissertation, Univ. of Madrid, 1999.
- [17] T. Parker, *A Morse theory for equivariant Yang-Mills*, Duke Math. J. **66**(2), 337-356 (1992).
- [18] T. Parker, *Bubble tree convergence for harmonic maps*, J. Diff. Geom. **44**(3), 595-633 (1996).
- [19] J. Råde, *On the Yang-Mills heat equation in two and three dimensions*, J. reine angew. Math. **431**, 123-163 (1992).
- [20] A. Schlatter, *Global existence of the Yang-Mills flow in four dimensions*, J. reine angew. Math. **479**, 133-148 (1996).
- [21] A. Schlatter, *Long-time behaviour of the Yang-Mills flow in four dimensions*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **15**, 1-25 (1997).
- [22] C. Simpson, *Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1**, 867-918 (1988).
- [23] C. Song, *Critical points of Yang-Mills-Higgs functional*, Commun. Contem. Math. **13**(3), 463-486 (2011).
- [24] C. Song, *Convergence of Yang-Mills-Higgs fields*, Math. Ann. (2015).
- [25] M. Struwe, *The Yang-Mills flow in four dimensions*, Calc. Var. **2**(2), 123-150 (1994).
- [26] R. Schoen, K. Uhlenbeck, *Approximation of Sobolev maps between Riemannian manifolds*. Preprint (1984).
- [27] C. Taubes, *Min-max theory for the Yang-Mills-Higgs equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **97**(4), 473-540 (1985).
- [28] K. Uhlenbeck, *Connections with L^p bounds on curvature*, Comm. Math. Phys. **83**, 31-42 (1982).
- [29] K. Uhlenbeck and S. T. Yau, *On the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39**-S, 257-293 (1986).
- [30] H. Yin and L. Liu, *On the finite time blow-up of biharmonic map flow in dimension four*, Journal of Elliptic and Parabolic Equations, **1**, 363-385(2015).
- [31] Y. Yu, *The gradient flow for gauged harmonic map in dimension two II*, Calc. Var. **50** (3-4), 883-924 (2014).